The Beaver Club has made no visible effort to accomplish the first part of its objects; it has accomplished the third insofar as its own limited and non-representative group is concerned.

It is quite true that activity participation is not the only requirement for membership — "popularity" is also important. The only other explanation for the choice of members is that the controlling fraternities have made it practically a closed club, an honorary society not for the Junior Class, but for the three or four fraternities which dominate. Anyone who can help the club make its choice of members on any other basis, The Tech will be glad to publish his arguments, but the facts in the case seem clear and uncontroversial.

There are two possible ways out of this anachronism. One would be to have new members recognize the situation and remedy it by making the Fall selections on the basis of a meritocratic residence. The Quadrangle Club, which was in a similar situation at first, has taken this step which resulted in a more nearly representative organization. If the Beaver Club is to continue as the Junior Honoratry Society, it must be willing to do the same thing for itself. The chief difficulty facing this plan is the common difficulty of any error reformation of habit—analogy of the past to the present.