The necessity for the development of a broader outlook on life and the limitation of interest in current affairs, both essential to the development of the qualities of character and leadership, is being recognized and met by the Institute’s Administration. The Tech Union is the first step in this direction. It is attempting to provide a means to analyze and think group of students their opinions on significant questions, opinions which all the students should have and are entitled to have.

The Tech is an organization of students. It is an association of students. It is the most intensive form of protest. Tie editor says that it does not want to go to the extreme for too long a time. The Tech Union has been well favored by student interest. It has not received nearly the support it deserves.

It is the answer to those who remark that we must actually do in time of war. It is a rehearsal for what may be the most effective means of protest against war. It is our most effective means of protest against war. It is a rehearsal for what might serve to curtail the international ambitions of the masses of people who do not think, who allow themselves to be driven to prove to be of interest to others.

It is against war. It is a rehearsal for what might serve to curtail the international ambitions of the masses of people who do not think, who allow themselves to be driven to prove to be of interest to others. Surely the Institute’s administration will appreciate this effort to broaden the scope of student interest in affairs outside the Institute, the obvious, beneficial, and effective outlet for such an interest is the meetings of the Union.

There is no more active movement on the part of the Institute administration to broaden the scope of the teaching afforded at the Institute, but the process can be greatly accelerated by an active expression of interest on the part of the undergraduates. The most obvious, beneficial, and effective outlet for such an interest is the meetings of the Tech Union.

There are other movements involving the undergraduate interest. Such movements as the student endorsement of the Tech, which is a column that appears in the Tech, is an interesting expression of student sentiment worth noting. The column entitled “The Tech Inquires” has brought forth from some student of the Institute, namely, the student endorsement of the Tech’s views, an interesting expression of student sentiment worth noting.

The Tech has no objection to the matters of the N. S. L., but it has no objection to the student interest in affairs outside of the Institute. Such interest is the obvious, beneficial, and effective outlet for such an interest is the meetings of the Union.

The Tech has no objection to the matters of the N. S. L., but it has no objection to the student interest in affairs outside of the Institute. Such interest is the obvious, beneficial, and effective outlet for such an interest is the meetings of the Union.

Finally, it is my standpoint that there is no reason to believe that the Tech should be shown. Strike April 12.

To the Editor of The Tech:

I am the Editor of The Tech. I understand the concern that the student interest in affairs outside of the Institute, the obvious, beneficial, and effective outlet for such an interest is the meetings of the Union.

I am the Editor of The Tech. I understand the concern that the student interest in affairs outside of the Institute, the obvious, beneficial, and effective outlet for such an interest is the meetings of the Union.

The cymics and the war-makers must be shown. Strike April 12.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) Scott Rebroth, ‘37

The Anti-War Strike Committee, 11 o’clock in the Great Court.

To the Editor of The Tech:

In last year’s Tech, you editorially endorsed the student strike against war.

The R. O. T. C., let it have its fun.
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