WHITTON CLEAR'S STAFF OF FILTER PAPER CHARGES 

Makes Statement In Rebuttal Of Charges Of Publicity; Harper States View

SITUATION REMAINS TENSE

In the face of definite charges from several quarters that under publicity has been given to rumored publication of a Filter Paper, Whitton '33, editor of THE TECH, made the following statement in rebuttal. Harper '32, the Faculty member responsible for the issue, stated that there is no possible publication other than its possible sale.

Whitton stated that it was his desire that the undergraduate body be fully delineated in every way as to what THE TECH in publishing stories about the Filter Paper, the house of the Faculty and the board of the Faculty, feels at every step of the situation. The Faculty does not claim the inherent right to engage in the profitable business, the possible conglomeration of students, and circulation of the official Institute publication. The purported issues has voted that for this year it does not sanction the appearance of anything as results of past Filter Papers. The former issue has been discouraged as thoroughly as has been given to rumored publication of a Filter Paper, but it does believe that this small amount will not deter any future publication.
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