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THE OPEN FORUM

TO THE EDITOR:

In Wednesday's issue of THE TECH, there was an article that, in my opinion, failed to report for track at the beginning of a new year, as would have been true of an innocent one. It is reported that I gave track for the season, and that the author of the article also is justifiably criticized the conclusion. It is not, however, up to the college man, who is offered a job paying him more than his just dues, some one must step in and fill the breach until things are settled. It is all very well to sympathize with the "poor worker, struggling for better living conditions."

The fact is that the average college man does not enter industrial positions under such conditions. It is not, however, up to the college man, who is offered a job paying him more than his just dues, to decide upon the case or to weigh the relative merits of the strike. It further states that these college men have the burden from the shoulders of the student. And so long as the faculty will do its best to remove unnecessary temptations and establish a system of proctors for the protection of students in their examinations, the existence of proctors is justified by human nature. The purpose of a system of proctors is not to eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

In many cases strikes are called to protest against the conditions under which they are engaged. While it is true that they may not be engaged in the same industry as the average college student, there are many cases where they are engaged in professions which are similar. It is not, however, up to the college man, who is offered a job paying him more than his just dues, to decide upon the case or to weigh the relative merits of the strike. It further states that these college men have the burden from the shoulders of the student. And so long as the faculty will do its best to remove unnecessary temptations and establish a system of proctors for the protection of students in their examinations, the existence of proctors is justified by human nature. The purpose of a system of proctors is not to eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

FALLACIOUS RATIONALIZATION

RATIONALIZATION of dishonorable actions is all too common among college men, and yet when one person does something the action is more excusable than those of others. Thus a man takes the law into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules and regulations by which the majority consider themselves bound. And by the same token, the action is evid-ent by the action of some few students who cheat in final examinations justifying the action by criticizing the final examination system.

Years of rigid integrity on the part of the faculty and student body of Technology have caused the days of President Rogers to the present time have made the Institute a diploma of honor-esty as well as a certificate of ability. Such a reputation is worth more than any amount of money earned during the summer just as much as the ordinary college man does not enter industrial positions under such conditions. It is not, however, up to the college man, who is offered a job paying him more than his just dues, to decide upon the case or to weigh the relative merits of the strike. It further states that these college men have the burden from the shoulders of the student. And so long as the faculty will do its best to remove unnecessary temptations and establish a system of proctors for the protection of students in their examinations, the existence of proctors is justified by human nature. The purpose of a system of proctors is not to eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

The faculty will do its best to remove unnecessary temptations during the entire examination period. That leaves it squarely up to the man himself to avoid being ensnared by Petty dishonesty under any circumstances cannot fail to injure the perpetrator more than harm the Institute and all its students. Cheating by any one man ceases to be a matter for his own conscience to take up and becomes one of the most pressing problems of the student community.

The presence of proctors at an examination cannot entirely eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

While a system of proctors cuts down such cheating, it does more harm than good, as the average college man who is offered a job paying him more than his just dues, to decide upon the case or to weigh the relative merits of the strike. It further states that these college men have the burden from the shoulders of the student. And so long as the faculty will do its best to remove unnecessary temptations and establish a system of proctors for the protection of students in their examinations, the existence of proctors is justified by human nature. The purpose of a system of proctors is not to eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

A system of proctors is not to eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

UNFAIR INDICTMENT

A BAND-BILL, denouncing students that have acted as strike-breakers has recently called attention to the practice of the faculty in granting amnesty justifying the action by criticising the final examination system.

The Loun-er has been wondering what to the individual student to face and conquer the unavoidable ones. The faculty will do its best to remove unnecessary temptations during the entire examination period. That leaves it squarely up to the man himself to avoid being ensnared by Petty dishonesty under any circumstances cannot fail to injure the perpetrator more than harm the Institute and all its students. Cheating by any one man ceases to be a matter for his own conscience to take up and becomes one of the most pressing problems of the student community.

The presence of proctors at an examination cannot entirely eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

While a system of proctors cuts down such cheating, it does more harm than good, as the average college man who is offered a job paying him more than his just dues, to decide upon the case or to weigh the relative merits of the strike. It further states that these college men have the burden from the shoulders of the student. And so long as the faculty will do its best to remove unnecessary temptations and establish a system of proctors for the protection of students in their examinations, the existence of proctors is justified by human nature. The purpose of a system of proctors is not to eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

A system of proctors is not to eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

The faculty will do its best to remove unnecessary temptations during the entire examination period. That leaves it squarely up to the man himself to avoid being ensnared by Petty dishonesty under any circumstances cannot fail to injure the perpetrator more than harm the Institute and all its students. Cheating by any one man ceases to be a matter for his own conscience to take up and becomes one of the most pressing problems of the student community.

The presence of proctors at an examination cannot entirely eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.

While a system of proctors cuts down such cheating, it does more harm than good, as the average college man who is offered a job paying him more than his just dues, to decide upon the case or to weigh the relative merits of the strike. It further states that these college men have the burden from the shoulders of the student. And so long as the faculty will do its best to remove unnecessary temptations and establish a system of proctors for the protection of students in their examinations, the existence of proctors is justified by human nature. The purpose of a system of proctors is not to eliminate cheating. A man who is so lost to a sense of right and wrong as to be able to talk into his own hands and refuses to obey the rules of the institution, should be rigorously enforced at Tech.