TWO TERMS VERSUS THREE

The discussion revived by the Institute Committee yesterday on the advisability of going back to the two-semester system as a permanent one is a reflection of the current attitude of the sub-committee appointed to consider the matter. The committee's opinion is that the plan of the two systems is predominantly in use among the different institutions, and that it is important for it seems at present that some students are more interested in the minor activities of the publications than in the major activities. It is obvious that the main requirement is that all publications shall be handled twice yearly, in February and in June. It would mean that the time of the Institute Committee meetings when his official actions amount practically to nothing is limited to the half of the academic year. The annual changes of the publications are not always as important as the changes of the major activities of the publications. The annual changes are more likely to be decided by the Publications Committee, while the major activities are decided by the Institute Committee.

The idea of limited representation loses its logicality when the annual changes are made by the Publications Committee, and the major activities are decided by the Institute Committee.