PROTECTION AGAINST FIRE IN Factories

Mr. Porter Shows Superiority of Sectional Buildings Over Fire Escapes.

This is an age in which even those things which were formerly supposed to be governed by chance are being reduced to a science. Mr. H. F. J. Porter at the Mechanical Engineering Society talk yesterday showed how protection from fire has been reduced to a science. He himself has been the chief contributor to this knowledge.

He first explained how he got into the study of fire protection. Mr. Porter is the superintendent of a factory which employed a large number of persons on each floor. The Iroquois Theatre fire in Chicago and the fire in Baltimore shortly after inspired him to make a crusade in his own factory for the Chauncey of the lives of his employees. He made a thorough study of the conditions existing in the factory, put on the most approved fire escapes on the outside, enlarged the stairways and inaugurated fire drills.

But he found that the fire drills almost invariably resulted in accidents to some of the girls and that a stream of people from an upper floor. (Continued on Page 3.)

M. E. SOCIETY

Nominations Complete—Ballots Ready for Voting.

The official ballots for the election of officers of the Mechanical Engineering Society have been printed and placed at the Cage. They must be filled out, signed and left at the Cage by 4 p. m., April 28th. The nominations are as follows:

Vice-Chairman: N. E. Kimball, '15.
Secretary: W. A. Howard, '21; H. E. Morse, '15.

CHAUNCEY HALL CLUB

The limit of time set for handing in ballots for the Chauncey Hall Club elections has been extended to Friday, April 24th. All members who wish to continue are urged to vote, as the number of ballots will indicate the number of people in favor of its existence.

BEDFORD WINS PRIZE DRILL

Individual Medals Carried Off by Richardson and Bogrette.

The annual interscholastic prize drill was held yesterday in the South Armory, six schools sending teams of three men each. The team prize, a suitably inscribed shield, was won by the Bedford team, composed of Ernest Richardson, A. E. Bogrette and Edwin Baker. Richardson and Bogrette received the first and second individual prizes, respectively.

The drill was upon the manual of the manual of instructions and was judged according to the manual in the U. S. Drill Regulations of 1911. The set-up and general bearing of the contestants also counted.

The judges were Sergt. Perry G. Schenfield, 9th Regt. M. V. M.; Maj. H. V. H. White, Maj. T. D. Parsons. The contestants were very evenly matched and nearly two hours' drill was necessary to pick out the winners. Three squads took part, the commands being given by Lieut.-Col. C. H. Chatfield, Maj. P. Keller and Col. F. P. Hamilton, respectively.

The entire freshman regiment were interested spectators of the contest, and there were also a few outsiders, chiefly teachers, Freund parents and lady friends of the contestants.

The eight best men, in order of their excellence, were:

B. Richardson, Bedford; A. E. Bogrette, Bedford; Stanley A. Burnham, Gloucester; R. W. Davis, Stoneham; N. Gallo, New Bedford; Edwin Baker, Bedford; George H. Hansen, Stoneham.
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