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WE ARE SAFE.

In an address before the New England Recreation Institute on "Men's Honor Should Not Be Brought and Sold," Dr. J. H. McCurdy attacked college athletics because they are not carried out in the spirit of fair play. He cited instances of men being lured to various colleges by promises of assistance, when it was known that those men were good athletes. Furthermore, he deplored the methods of paid coaches who sent their men into streets.

In the matter of fair play in games, the Institute has been less attacked outside of the United States and Canada.

Specifically, we noticed that Earl Ovington, Manager of Tech against Brown, was accused of "face off." Dr. McCurdy pointed out that the Institute is as it should be, for the spirit of its intention of buying a Portfolio is as it was in 1904. The aviator must have had a little organized cheering to help him out.

During the last half of the game a few good cheers given at the right time might have made a different story of the score become, during that part of the game, the team scored three more points than Brown did.

Now it has always been the custom that the President of the Senior Class was by virtue of his office the official Cheer Leader at the Institute. When the occasion demands, and they are few enough, that one of the Tech teams plays a home game it seems strange that it cannot have the support of the students to back it. If the President of the Senior Class is not interested in Institute activities let him resign the office, or do better work under the present system.

One Tech man at the game the other night who possessed the proper spirit got up and led a couple of feeble cheers, which showed that there are a few men here who look out for leadership when it comes to cheering.

The instance of the home team at Syracuse shows what real Tech spirit should be. If a hundred of loyal Alumi, with scarcely a day's notice, can turn out and support the hockey team, about which they knew little, by some good cheering, why can't at least one-quarter of the 1500 odd student turn out with a proper leader and support their own teams?

"Pass it on.""