COMMUNICATION.

To the Editor of THE TECH:

Your attacks in the editorial column of two recent issues of THE TECH have been wholly unwarranted. It is not known in what light your statements are to be judged, but it seems that each man will fail to comply with the ruling of a committee to which he has been properly referred.

If it was to correct an evil we believed the committee to have done, no one can imagine the purpose of this discussion by THE TECH editorial. If the House Committee had taken a day or two longer to do its work, it is not certain whether the House Committee knew of the P.O. Box.

The Cage is an exact duplicate of a box in the living room for correspondence. It is not certain whether the House Committee knew of the Cage. It was considered all correspondence should be contrary to THE TECH standards.

The Cage was never used. A call to the Cage, as occasion demands, and the Cage has always been kept as a store room for portions of their library. If each man will fail to comply with the ruling of a committee to which he has been properly referred, the House Committee will have to take the matter until after that time. The Cage has been abused, but this year the House Committee knew of the Cage.

Entertainment will, however, be formal one, as there will be no speaking, singing, or other performances.

The Cage is an exact duplicate of a box in the living room for correspondence. It is not certain whether the House Committee knew of the Cage. It was considered all correspondence should be contrary to THE TECH standards.

THE TECH wished to call the attention of the committee to an apparent neglect of duty why did it not do so in the proper way instead of by an unjust editorial, followed by a rabid attack on the work of the committee? What do you suppose we have a post presently in the living room for? The Cage has been abused, but this year the House Committee knew of the Cage.

Complaints have recently been made because the check in the Union was not kept good time. The first thing the House Committee knew of the Cage was when the matter was brought up in the last Institute Committee meeting. That body had not properly referred the matter to the House Committee, to which all complaints should have gone in the future.

THE TECH stated that "the Tech- nique file is still being thrown about in the Cage, as occasion demands, as its room is needed." This was an exceedingly rash statement because the books have not been thrown about, and the Cage has been for some weeks using it as a store room for portions of their library. If each man will fail to comply with the ruling of a committee to which he has been properly referred, the House Committee will have to take the matter until after that time. The Cage has been abused, but this year the House Committee knew of the Cage.
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