Hard Jolt Given
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In higher terms the working of the system at the latter institution has been successful has the system become at Stevens that the student governing board even goes so far as to make recommendations to the faculty-relative to candidates for a degree.

The speaker cited other examples of Southern institutions where the system flourished, and closed by reporting officially for the committee, that the latter body recommends the adoption of the system for the upper two classes alone for the present.

The academic reception accorded these three writers would appear to indicate the sanction of the meeting for the movement. The unanimity of the vote was ratiocinated by the next speaker, who forcefully presented a number of strong arguments against the adoption of the system at the Institute.

This great speaker in opposition was John M. Barer, a graduate of Washington and Lee University, and now a graduate student at the Institute. In stating his reasons, first he gives a rather clever charge against the men who would be in any case to get his degree; and second, the bad name upon which the whole system stands or falls, by which a student will report friends and foes alike, has no firm foundation.

Elaborating upon the first argument the speaker advocated the system that if students at the Institute were offered were they to have the great advantage of being classed at colleges and colleges where the system is in vogue. He further warned the meeting against receiving the informal report issued by the various colleges about systems and the student would carry with him the advantage gained by students being in vogue. It would be very poor policy for a school or college to bar students that of the Institute if it were already in vogue at the institution in question.

Under the second argument he showed the meeting how difficult it is to make an education for himself. This, he said, was the weak link in the chain, and was damaging evidence against the system. For these two reasons, if for no other, he disapproved heartily of the system.

The final speaker in opposition was R. H. Ranger, whose argument was along the same lines as that of the previous speaker. He further warned the meeting against the adoption of a system at the Institute.

In conclusion the speaker noted that "the student body..." and closed by reporting of the meeting how difficult it is to make
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In the discouraging fact that comparatively few men have signified their intention to preside at the meeting how difficult it is to make
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