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Puritan Press, Boston.

THOSE who were fortunate enough to take the trip to Hanover last year will remember the cordial reception accorded our Track Team. Next Saturday the Dartmouth Track Team comes to Boston for the Dual Meet, thus giving us a chance to repay the hospitality of last spring. Everybody who has any vestige of loyalty to M. I. T. will turn out for the Dual Meet, not only to support our excellent Track Team, but to show the proper courtesy to our visitors. We cannot, in the nature of things, treat them as they treated us, but none the less we will do all in our power to make them feel assured of their welcome.

YOU HAVE TALKED, NOW ACT.

THE lukewarmness with which the Institute Committee has treated the subject of finding a substitute for the “We are happy” yell, shows that it is time for the students as a whole to take the matter out of their hands if they wish anything done about it. For nearly a year the question has been sidetracked in a sub-committee, and yet, with the Dartmouth Meet right at hand, they are still dawdling. If any effort has been made to get a clean, manly yell for us, it has been admirably hidden from the undergraduate body. We are sick of this order of things. Will no one act instead of talk? There are hundreds of students ready to decry the old yell, but not one will attempt to give us a new one. The old one is snappy and that is all. Even now there is time for the right man to bring out a yell which Tech will be proud to give at the meet, one which a gentleman can use even in the presence of ladies. But we do not wish to rant against the old yell; it is generally granted that it is bad. We aim to stir up student opinion, either to demand of the Institute Committee immediate and effective action and an end to this lingering and delay, or to do for itself what its representatives cannot or will not do. If that body is too much engaged in important work to attend to a trivial thing like a Tech yell, let them say so and turn it over to some one who has the good of Tech enough at heart to give a little heed to a matter which touches the very life of student interest at Tech.