of the results of recent meets at various colleges, which will afford interesting data. Comparison should be made, however, with the understanding that the conditions of the several tracks and so forth are probably somewhat different.

### MANNER OF AWARDING ATHLETIC EMBLEMS.

NOW that, with the advent of spring, athletics have again come into prominence, a consideration of the manner of awarding T's, and the various other athletic emblems, is quite apropos. At present the award rests merely on a man's qualifying according to the conditions specified by the Advisory Council. He receives no official personal notice, so that if at any time his right to wear these emblems were questioned, he would find it difficult and exceedingly embarrassing to prove that right. Such laxity is devoid of dignity and respect and hence greatly depreciates the value of these insignia.

We suggest, therefore, that the Advisory Council adopt some method of giving a definite official award, over the signature of the chairman of the Advisory Council, to each person, the award to bear the recipient's name, the name and date of the meet, and the event, with place and time or distance upon which the award is based. These, as is the case in many colleges, might take the form of shingles of appropriate design suitable for framing. The increase in the dignity and value of the emblems would sufficiently compensate for the small cost.

### Spring Athletic Carnival.

In spite of unfavorable weather conditions which prevented the New Field from being completed until Saturday, and which greatly interfered with outdoor work, the Carnival Games were most successful, except, perhaps, in point of attendance, and give great promise of a successful season on the track. In all the events the showing was creditable, and in the quarter-mile and two-mile runs remarkable time was made. The events were one-mile run, two-mile run, quarter-mile run, shot-put, pole vault, and high-jump; the last three being handicap events.

On Thursday the mile was run over a measured course extending up Newbury Street from the start, between Dartmouth and Exeter Streets, to Massachusetts Avenue, along Massachusetts Avenue to Boylston Street, and down Boylston Street, finishing at the corner of Exeter Street. There were fifteen starters, the first three finishing in the following order: E. F. Jenkins, '04; E. L. Ovington, '04; F. B. Riley, '05. Time, 4 min., 47 1/4 sec.

Considering that a large part of the first half was up-hill running, and that the crowded condition of Massachusetts Avenue caused delay in dodging teams, this time was very creditable.

On Friday the quarter-mile was run on a measured course on Newbury Street, starting at Fairfield, and finishing at Dartmouth Street. Sixteen men entered. For the first hundred and fifty yards, the men were well bunched, after which Le B. Turner, '05, took the lead and continually increased the gap until he finished five yards ahead of the second man, R. S. Franklin, '03, and about twelve yards ahead of the bunch. R. P. Nichols, '05, was third. The time, 52 sec., was extremely good and within 4 of a second of the Tech record. H. L. Williams, '06, fell in the last 25 yards, losing a good chance for third place.

On Saturday the high-jump, shot-put, pole-vault and two-mile run were run off on the new field in front of Technology Chambers. Seven men entered the high-jump. Four fell out at 5 ft. 2 1/2 in., leaving Farrington, '05, Curtiss, '04, and O. R. Adams, '06, to fight for places. Farrington won, with an actual jump of 5 ft. 7 1/2 in., and a handicap of 1 1/2 in.; Curtiss