of national extent, as also must members of fraternities recognize the fact that men not included in fraternity rolls are not a minus quantity. But these considerations have no place in class affairs. This narrow, unreasoning controversy is becoming tiresome, to say the least, and it is fully time that it was dead and buried. *Spice* in our elections is healthful and proper; but a pinch of *honor* and a bit of *sincere class loyalty* is the sort of seasoning needed.

It is with pleasure that we note the action of the Institute Committee in appointing a committee to take the question of a Tech flag in hand. The want of such a flag has been long felt and embarrassments have arisen from the lack. The same has been true to an even greater degree in the case of a representative Tech song. The latter has been attempted several times with but indifferent success. The obtaining of a college song is, however, a question of years, and as years go, the Institute is still young. To get a flag will, however, be a far easier matter. While it is not so important as the other, yet it is something the attainment of which is most desirable. The undertaking, being in charge of the Institute Committee, is in good hands, and *The Tech* looks forward to a satisfactory solution of the matter.

Although going to 1905 by a number of points, the athletic meet of Saturday gives no definite idea of the relative strength of the classes. The meet being a handicap affair is not a just criterion to judge by, as without exception, the older men whose abilities are known, were severely penalized, the advantage going directly to the men new in Tech athletics. That the Freshmen did well, we are only too ready to admit, and the prediction has been made that if the enthusiasm aroused in athletics does not abate, 1905 will stand an excellent show of winning the class championship next spring. The scratch meets of the winter and spring enable the men from each class to compete on the same footing, hence ability only will win the events.

"M. I. T." at the Pan-American Exposition.

The Institute of Technology was represented at the Pan-American Exposition, not only by a most excellent and representative exhibit of its work as displayed in the Technology Exhibit, but it was also represented by President Pritchett, who, as Superintendent of Awards had in charge the entire work of selecting the jurors and organizing them for work. Professors Peabody, Puffer and Woodbridge served as members of the Marine Engineering and Transportation, in Electricity and in Sanitary Apparatus. In all 150 persons served upon the various divisions. The list included, among others, the following professions and callings:

- Mechanical Engineers;
- Electrical Engineers;
- Mining Engineers;
- Military Engineers;
- Naval Constructors;
- Medical Experts;
- Experts in Educational Matters;
- Painters;
- Sculptors;
- Engravers;
- Architects;
- Chemists;
- Experts in Agricultural Products;
- Merchants;
- Musicians;
- Manufacturers;
- Experts in Fisheries, Laces, Silks, Woolens, Rubber, Gems, etc.;
- Geologists;
- Biologists;
- Anthropologists.

A significant feature of this list is the large number of jurors chosen from the various engineering professions. In one sense the proportion is misleading, since the number of experts necessary to judge exhibits in which the applications of engineering enter is of necessity out of proportion to the number required in such a subject as agriculture. Nevertheless, it is significant of the trend of modern civilization that when a body of experts is brought together to judge a great exposition the character of the exhibits is such that a majority of the judges are drawn from the ranks of the workers in applied science.

The exhibits presented under the various divisions were, in the main, housed in buildings specially erected for the separate divisions, as in the Fine