baseball among the lower classes at the Institute, if played under certain specified conditions. Therefore, as the matter stood, those voting against the continuance of baseball opposed the informally expressed opinion of The Faculty, while those in favor of supporting a team directly antagonized the wishes of the Advisory Council. Neither of these positions comes into the province of the students at the Institute. Although delay at this season of the year is objectionable to the organization of a team, the subject should have been allowed to rest until Faculty and Advisory Council arrived at some definite conclusion, the class then concurring with this decision. As it now is, after a short and heated discussion, the class voted not to support a team this year, with the result that a large portion of the class is dissatisfied. The justice of such dissatisfaction must be allowed, because of the lack of thoroughness with which the subject was treated. Dignity, and above all, deliberation, should be the foremost characteristics of the class meetings.