BOUT a year ago the Institute Committee proposed to the Class of ’98 a system for Class-day elections. The system, briefly sketched, is this: By the Australian ballot system the class elects a nominating committee, consisting of twenty-five members. The ballots contain the names of all the members of the class and the twenty-five receiving the highest number of votes form the committee. This committee nominates a certain number of men for the various Class-day offices, and on some subsequent day the class votes on these nominees. Those receiving the highest number of votes are elected.

We consider the scheme admirable. The unfortunate results which arise from unrepresentative Class-day elections are not underestimated. Above all other class elections these should be harmonious and good-natured. Surely, Class Day, which is the formal recognition of the fact that undergraduate class affairs are over, should bring with it no ill-will among the members of the class. The proposed scheme puts the elections on a fair and equal basis for all.

Last year this system was adopted by the Senior Class, and, as a working system, was found practical. In the Class of ’99 last year, there was some discussion over the scheme, and there is still more unfortunate opposition to it this year. Now that the time for the election of Class-day officers is approaching, we trust that the Senior Class will follow the example of ’98 in adopting this system. Such

THE indoor meet, which is to be held in the Gymnasium December 17th, promises a better field of contestants than we have had for a number of years. Despite the fact that two of Technology’s veterans cannot compete,—Burch, because of his recent severe sickness, and Garrett, on account of enlargement of the heart,—the time in some of the events ought to be noticeably good. A new Tech. record for the high jump is among the possibilities. Every man in the Institute should make an effort to attend the games Saturday evening.