THE clumsy appellation, The New Building, while sufficiently specific for the present, is a designation bound to become inappropriate and vague with the passing of time. Moreover, we have had three New Buildings in the last ten years. It is fitting that this last addition to the property of the Institute should be named for the man who made its erection possible by the bequest of three quarters of a million dollars. Henceforth, therefore, the new building will be known as The Pierce Building, after the late Henry L. Pierce.

In the official ballot at every class election the voter sees the inscription, "Institute Committee. Vote for two." It is extremely doubtful if the majority of students at Technology know exactly what the Institute Committee is and does. The Committee was formed in 1893 at the suggestion of General Walker. The preamble to the constitution states that "it shall be the object of the Institute Committee to further the interests of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology." One of the main objects in its formation was that it should act as a connecting link between the students and the Faculty.

As we are just starting upon the college year, it seems not out of place to urge upon the newly elected Committee the importance of vigorous work during the coming year. Past committees have done good work in behalf of the students, but there have also been times when the utility of this Committee has been seriously questioned. For the past two years, at least, the honorary members have not been invited to attend the meetings, and this, it would seem, is a great mistake. Matters in discussion between the Faculty and the students can be handled better at a meeting, where both parties are represented, than by putting the matter into the hands of a subcommittee with instructions to confer with the president or the secretary.

It is hoped, therefore, that this year will see a decided change for the better, and that the Institute Committee will win the thanks of the students instead of their ridicule.

Technology 6; Amherst 10.

Amherst beat Technology last Saturday in a fiercely contested game 10-6. Our men say that the Amherst players were unnecessarily rough, and that the umpire was unfair in his decisions. Tech. played a strong game all through. Maxson played a star game at quarter for Tech., while Captain Morse was in every play, and made many good gains.

Tech. kicked off, and after the ball had changed hands several times Amherst got it on Tech.'s 30-yard line. By short, fierce plunges right and left of center the ball was forced to Tech.'s one yard line, and Griffin was pushed over for a touchdown. No goal. Score, 5-0.

Tech. kicked off, and on the second play Whitney skirted left end for twenty yards. On an exchange of punts M. I. T. got the ball in the centre. Jewett and Morse made good gains through tackle, and Tech. was given ten yards for offside. From Amherst's 5-yard line Jewett carried the ball over, but lost it on a fumble. Nolte dropped on it, however, and kicked the goal.

After an exchange of punts it was M. I. T.'s ball on Amherst's 35-yard line. Ballantine broke through, and, getting the ball on a fumble, ran fifty yards to Tech.'s 25-yard line. Amherst's backs and guards tore through Tech.'s line for good gains, and Griffin went over from the 5-yard line. No goal. Score, M. I. T. 6; Amherst 10.