will be badly felt. Robinson and Hitchcock are both new men, and lack experience. They are slow in getting into plays, and not very useful in the interference. Underwood will play full back, and Emery is improving fast, both in kicking and running.

In summing up, the team shows its greatest weakness at ends and half back. The eleven has been out so few times that it is hard to judge the individual players. As a whole, they need practice badly, and some of the men are decidedly undertrained.

The schedule of games shows six and possibly seven home games. The colleges and teams which Technology will play seem to be well selected to meet the requirements of our peculiar conditions, Hanover being the most distant place the team will visit. All of the home games will be played at the South End grounds, and it is hoped that there will be a large attendance.

Technology o, Exeter o.

Technology's first game of the season was played at Exeter last Saturday, and resulted in a tie. The inability of our eleven to score was due principally to its weakness behind the line, and considering that Exeter had already played several games, the score was not surprising.

The most encouraging feature of the game for us was the strong defensive play of the team, and although the men did not play together well, and the backs continually failed to support the ends as they should, the line as a whole was steady. This was particularly noticeable in the work of the three center men, who not only stood firm and allowed but one gain through guard, but broke through well, and did the best aggressive work when Exeter had the ball.

The tackles were necessarily weak, as Brown is really a candidate for end, and Springer, although he has the making of a good man in him, is inexperienced, and does not follow the ball well. The ends were also weak. McBride was slow; he put but little life into his work and did not break up interference well. Heckle played a hard game at left end, and only once did Exeter make a large gain around his end. Warren was steady at quarter but did not block off well, and was rather weak at tackling.

Of the backs Hayden did the best work. He made several very pretty runs and tackled well. Robinson was very slow, and evidently does not understand the position of half back. His faults are entirely excusable as he has never played back of the line before; with careful coaching, however, he will make a valuable man. Underwood was not in his best form, and did not buck the line as well as usual. He punted well, however, and on the whole played steadily, though less cleverly than usual.

The team as a whole showed a decided need of practice. The men did not play together, and were slow in starting. The defensive work was much better than the offensive, and Exeter lost the ball repeatedly on four downs. Of course it cannot be expected that the men will last well in the first game of the year, yet some of the line and Hayden showed lack of training, and this is undoubtedly an inexcusable fault.

The game began with Stuart kicking off to Warren. Technology then made some feeble attempts to advance the ball but failed, and Underwood punted. Stuart of Exeter fumbled the ball, and Technology had the ball in Exeter's territory. Exeter's center proved strong, and the ball changed hands twice on four downs. Then Tech. began an apparently straight march for the opponents' goal, but Robinson fumbled at a critical moment and the ball again changed hands. The remainder of the half was uneventful except for a beautiful run by Gibbons around McBride for thirty yards. The ball remained near the center of the field, and frequently changed hands on four downs until time was called.