hard a game, and Horton lost the tenth game and the set.

Johnston had now retrieved his losses, and the score was even at two sets all.

Horton opened the deciding set by winning a love game. Johnston won the second after the score had been vantage against him twice. The next two games went to Horton, who allowed Johnston but one point in each; but the latter turned the tables by winning the next two games in exactly the same fashion, tying the score at three games all. The ninth game also went to Johnston, while Horton won the tenth after a pretty rally. This proved to be Horton's last effort, as Johnston took the next two games easily, and thus won the match and the championship.

It was a wonderfully close match, as will be seen by the total score; Johnston leading by a single point in fifty-five games.

**JOHNSTON VS. HORTON.**

First set—Johnston, 6 games, 54 points; Horton, 8 games, 59 points.

Second set—Johnston, 3 games, 29 points; Horton, 6 games, 35 points.

Third set—Johnston, 7 games, 36 points; Horton, 5 games, 31 points.

Fourth set—Johnston, 6 games, 34 points; Horton, 4 games, 29 points.

Fifth set—Johnston, 6 games, 29 points; Horton, 4 games, 27 points.

Total—Johnston, 28 games, 182 points; Horton, 27 games, 181 points.

It is doubtful if the score would have been so close on a good court, but the two men are certainly very evenly matched, though we think Johnston the better player. He made quite a name for himself at the tournament in Chicago last summer, where he was only defeated by Ryerson in the semi finals. He plays a cool, steady, and at times very brilliant game, using the Lawford drop-stroke very effectively. Horton did not play a steady enough game to win; he appeared worn out during the last part of the match, and was evidently not in as good form as Johnston.

Drawings were immediately made for second prize, and after the usual series of delays and postponements the matches were played off; Horton winning by defeating Howland in the finals, by the peculiar score of 13-15, 6-1, 6-1.

The doubles dragged out to a painful extent; few good matches were played, as was only natural considering the lateness of the season, and the poor condition of the grounds. Horton and Wadsworth won easily. Following is a summary of the matches.

**SINGLES.**

Preliminary Round: Coles beat Rice, 4-6, 6-4, 6-2; Wadsworth beat Ensworth, 6-3, 3-6, 11-9; Johnston beat Latey, 6-4, 6-2; Dickey beat Mackay, 6-3, 6-2.

First Round: Norton beat L. Dana, 6-1, 6-1; Dunham beat Stebbins, 10-8, 2-6, 6-4; Horton beat G. Dana, 6-3, 6-3; Wadsworth beat Coles, 6-3, 6-4; Johnston beat Dickey, 9-7, 6-4; Sayward beat Moore, 6-3, 3-6, 6-4; Walker beat Peck, 2-6, 6-4, 6-2; Howland beat Taylor, 7-5, 4-6, 6-2.

Second Round: Dunham beat Norton, 6-3, 6-4; Horton beat Wadsworth, 6-2, 6-3; Johnston beat Sayward, 6-1, 6-2; Howland beat Walker, 8-6, 6-4.

Third Round: Horton beat Dunham, 6-3, 6-3; Johnston beat Howland, 9-7, 4-6, 6-2.

Final Round: Johnston beat Horton, 8-6, 3-6, 7-5, 6-4, 6-4.

**SECOND PLACE SINGLES.**

Preliminary Round: Sayward beat Dickey, 7-5, 9-11, 6-2.

First Round: Horton beat Sayward, 7-5, 6-2; Howland beat Latey, 3-6, 6-1, 6-4.

Final Round: Horton beat Howland, 13-15, 6-1, 6-1.

**DOUBLES.**

Preliminary Round: Johnston and Coles beat Dunham and Rice, 6-1, 6-2.

First Round: Johnston and Coles beat Stebbins and Sayward, 7-5, 6-3; Horton and Wadsworth beat Dickey and Mackay, 6-3, 6-2; Howland and Moore beat Peck and