Tech. vs. Harvard.

The game at Cambridge on the thirteenth was highly satisfactory, as it shows what our team can do under the most adverse circumstances. The weather was cold and rainy, the attendance consequently slight, and the enthusiasm feeble. But in spite of the small encouragement given in the way of applause, the Techs played an exceptionally good game. Of the eighteen points scored by Harvard, twelve resulted from two fumbles by our full-back. Six points were earned. The Techs also failed to take advantage of their position in the first part of the first half, when a goal from the field would not have been difficult. With the exception of the above the Techs' game was errorless; the tackling, blocking, individual and team playing extremely brilliant.

Game was called at five minutes past three. In a few minutes Lee got round the end of our rushline, but was finely stopped by Slade. Lee hurt his hand, and retired from the field. The Techs kept the ball in the middle of the field for some time, but their opponents gradually forced them back, and at twenty-five minutes of the first touchdown was made, and from it a goal was kicked. During the rest of the first half no more points were made. In this half the Techs played well and tackled finely.

The second half was not so exciting, but the Techs continued their steady good playing, and the best the Harvards could do was to secure two touchdowns, from each of which a goal was kicked, the score standing 18 to 0.

For Harvard, the best work was done by Cumnock, G. Harding, and Perry.

The following is the personnel of the teams. Harvard—rushers, Cumnock, Van Schaik, Finlay, Dexter (centre), Trafford, Davis, G. Harding; quarter-back, Dean; half-backs, Lee and Perry; full-back, Fitzhugh. Technology—rushers, Kales, Tracy, Meade, Hammond (centre), Highlands, Hamilton, and Dame; quarter-back, Godchaux; half-backs, Germer and Duane; full-back, Slade.

The officers of the game were: Umpire, V. Harding, Harvard, '89; referee, Kelly, of Harvard Medical School.

The following, from well-known authorities, may be of interest:

The Institute of Technology has every reason to be proud of its foot-ball team. Yesterday afternoon the club visited Cambridge, and in a game with the Harvard 'Varsity eleven held it down to eighteen points, which much surprised the wearers of the Crimson, who were accustomed to have everything their own way—in regard to contests with local athletes, at least. The rushline of the Technology team was not so heavy as that of Harvard, but they were good runners, tackled well, and kept forcing the ball up the field, scoring only being prevented by the good work of Harvard's defense players. Finding that they had met a foean worthy of their steel, the Harvard men began the reckless, rough style of playing that has already brought the game somewhat into disrepute among the class that does not care to see men, maimed and bleeding, carried from the field of battle.—Boston Courier.

The Harvard Foot-ball Team, to use a slang phrase, "caught a Tartar" when it played with the representatives of the Institute of Technology on Jarvis Field, Cambridge, yesterday afternoon. Although the latter did not win, they held the Harvard men down to eighteen points, which much chagrined the Cambridge collegians.—Saturday Evening Gazette.

The Technology team as a whole played with vigor. They got through and tackled well. The playing of the Harvard team needs no comment.—Harvard Crimson.

Tech. vs. Exeter.

The large attendance at Saturday's game was a pleasant surprise to all, especially to the managers. Tech., on the whole, played a good game, and earned every point scored. The first half was decidedly satisfactory, although the safety, which was not allowed, should have been a touchdown. The second