together, the rush-line supporting the backs in fine style, earning nothing but praise from the Dartmouth spectators. Let us hope that this will always be the case, and that we will never again be forced to see a game where individual work takes precedence of team play. The prospects for next year are that the eleven will be an exceptionally light one, but with good support from every one in helping in every way possible, there need be no fear that it will lower the Tech. record.

THE Tech has, in the past, been careful as to what it said in connection with rushes, and has neither advocated nor deprecated them. Somehow, from an editorial of ours in Tech No. 1, the impression has been received that we are in favor of having an annual rush. It was not our intention to give this impression when writing the editorial. We spoke merely of the advisability of having rules to regulate the rush, our idea being that in this way the danger of a man's being injured would be reduced to a minimum. The custom of having rushes has fallen into disuse among the students of nearly all the large colleges, and it is only among some of the less important colleges that rushes are considered affairs of any great moment. We thought that this was a well-known fact, and wished to leave it to the students to decide what they would do in this matter, expecting that it would be brought up if a meeting was held for the purpose of forming rules. The greatest drawback to rushes is, in our minds, the bad reputation the college gets from them through the columns of the daily press, which is always only too eager to seize on any pretext for running down any institution of learning. As for the danger of physical injury, which is apparently very great in a rush, this is much less than is generally supposed, and it is but seldom that any one is ever injured by participating in one.

Apart from the bad reputation given the college, rushes add greatly to the heap of opprobrium piled upon foot-ball, as this game usually precedes or causes a rush. It is only too well known that foot-ball has enough to bear in its own reputation without having it made worse. Our object in saying this much was to do away with the erroneous impression given by our former editorial, and make our position clear. Considering all things, the arguments against rushes appear to us to overbalance anything which can be said in their favor.

A GRADUATE of some years' standing, returning to the Institute, would probably be greatly surprised at the amount of class spirit which is now displayed here. It used to be said of the Tech. that one of its great advantages was the total absence of any such spirit. THE Tech has always disputed the wisdom of this saying, and maintained that a good, hearty class spirit was almost a necessity in order to have athletic teams which could worthily represent the Institute, and it is principally through its efforts that this change has been brought about. Of course the rapidly increasing number of students has helped this, together with the sharp lines of demarkation of studies between the classes, which is more pronounced here than at any other place. At first this spirit was apt to be the cause of a few small rushes around the Institute halls; but these have passed away, let us hope, for good, and have been replaced by a desire on the part of each class to be superior to the others in athletics. We do not think we are claiming too much when we say that it is owing to this spirit that we pulled Harvard in the tug-of-war last year, and that it has greatly helped us to attain our present position in foot-ball. Let this spirit be kept up, and it ought not to be long before the Institute will have a reputation in athletics as good as its reputation as a scientific school.

A GREAT deal has been talked about the overwork of the Tech. students. The matter has finally reached even the Faculty, who have listened to it, and in order to find out the