justly severe on the stupid, pedantic management of English elementary education, and in illustration of their dementalizing effect, states the fact that in the half-time schools in the manufacturing town of Keighley, the percentage of passes at the examinations is higher than the average of passes of children receiving double the amount of schooling throughout the country. In the course of his paper he mentioned the magnificent efforts of an English gentleman to improve the education of the working-classes in London, Mr. Quentin Hogg, "at the old Polytechnic Institute." "The members and students now, I understand, number nearly ten thousand, and, not only does Mr. Quentin Hogg devote an immense amount of time to the work, but the cost to him cannot be much below £10,000 a year."

The present writer, while in London this summer, visited the new "City and Guild of London Technical School," at South Kensington, and was kindly shown over it by the secretary. It is a magnificent building, magnificently equipped, in many respects almost a duplicate of our own Institute, its arrangement, in some respects perhaps, superior, but in many it seemed to him, in spite of the lavish expenditure of money, decidedly inferior. It has been recently opened, and has as yet but few students, and the secretary complained that there was great difficulty in getting properly prepared candidates. The course of study seemed, in consequence, decidedly inferior to that of our Institute. But from these and many other signs it is very apparent that England is at last waking up to her great deficiencies in scientific education.

W. P. A.

Errata.

In noticeable articles in the last number, for "a quarter measure of self-government" read "greater measure," and for "J. Theodore Burt" read "J. Theodore Bent."

The Thoughtfulness of '90.

At a recent class meeting, the freshmen, acting under the advice of '89, elected two editors for "Technique," who reported to the editor-in-chief, Mr. Claflin. They were politely told that '88 greatly appreciated their thoughtfulness and courtesy, but that '88 felt fully equal to the task of publishing the annual herself.

The Amherst Student in speaking of the recent Amherst-Tech game says: "The Technology men played like gentlemen, and well deserved their victory." This is rather a contrast to what the Dartmouth says. One would hardly imagine from reading the two papers that we played the same team on both days.

Princeton beat Harvard 12 to 0.
Yale beat University of Pennsylvania 75 to 0.

After the game with Williams last Saturday, Herrick was told by one of the Williams men that the Williams rusher whom Fish caught after his long run, was the champion sprint runner of Williams, and had a record of 100 yards in 10 1-2 seconds.

There were about ten Tech men at the Williams game Saturday. The team left Boston the day before the game, and spent the night at North Adams.

There has been snow for a week at Williams-town, and the Williams men have got used to playing in it, so that they didn't seem to mind it in the least in their game with us.

Amherst has protested the legality of the league meeting the other day, at which it was decided that the Williams-Amherst game should be played over again. It is probable that this protest will be allowed, and the game will stand as it is. There is no more reason why this game should be played over, than there is for our game with Amherst to be played again. There was the same referee in both games.

Williams vs. Technology.

GAME called at 2:30. Williams had the kick-off, and forced the ball near our goal, but it was forced back to the center. Wells, the Williams captain, then made a fine rush, and the ball was in very dangerous proximity to our line. Williams tried to force through, and lost the ball.