The convention adjourned to meet again at the Warwick House, Springfield, Mass., November 23d, at 7 P.M. The delegates were all harmonious and enthusiastic, and all regretted that the Institute of Technology was not represented. An interesting and prosperous season is expected.

Harvard vs. Tech.

The practice game with Harvard, October 9th, was of too monotonous a character to be given in detail. In the first half, the ball was on our end of the field about all the time. For Harvard, Harding, Holden, and Faulkner did most of the work. On our side nothing brilliant was done except a good run by Herrick. Our rushers did not work enough. Score at end of first half: Harvard, 24; Tech, 0.

In the second half our men played better, and kept their wind well. Duane and Durfee changed places. The kicking of Wadsworth and Duane was very fine. Vorce and Goodhue did most of the tackling on our side. For Harvard, Harding, Fletcher, and Faulkner made the most brilliant plays. In this half Holden was disabled, Morse taking his place, and Durfee was substituted by Devens. The score was increased to 54 to 0. Score in detail, 11 touch-downs, 4 goals, 1 safety.

Our great fault lay in the inability of our rushing to either hold the Harvards, or break through on their half-backs. Neither did they follow up the ball in good shape.

Andover vs. Tech.

Game called at 3:25. Our men had to play up-hill, and the ball was forced toward our goal, where we were compelled to make a safety. Then Andover kicked a goal from the field. Then the ball went to Andover's goal, where Vorce made a touch-down. No goal. Then Bancroft, Andover, kicked another goal from the field. Fine passing by Herrick, Tracy, and Ladd brought the ball up the hill; but soon after, by an error in judgment of Devens, Andover got a touch-down, from which a goal was kicked.

Second half: The ball was kept in Andover's territory all the time. Bancroft muffed a fair catch; Dame got the ball, passed to Herrick, who made a touch-down, which was allowed by the referee. The decision was changed, as it was claimed by Andover that Bancroft was prevented from making a fair catch. Next, Shaw of Andover was promptly disqualified for knocking down Wadsworth, who was heeled for a fair catch. The ball was then carried toward their goal, where the Techs could not rush it through. Then Dennisson, Andover, and Goodhue, Tech, made some beautiful runs. Devens then made a wonderful long punt, a short distance from our goal, which brought the ball to Andover's. Then Goodhue, Tracy, and Duane each made a touchdown. Then Herrick made another touchdown, which was not allowed, as the referee did not understand the rules. No more scores were made. Andover, 18; Tech, 16. The referee was Knowlton of Andover, who no doubt tried to be fair, but his mistaken decisions gave Andover the game.

For Andover, Dennisson, Brainerd, and Morrey did the best work. For the Tech, the whole rush-line did well, especially Goodhue, Tracy, and Dame, the new men.

Yale vs. Tech.

This game was played before a large audience, and although one-sided, was not without interest. In the first inning Yale made her first touch-down in two minutes, and made altogether in the first half nine more, from seven of which goals were kicked. The Techs also made one safety. Score, 50-o. In the second inning the playing of the Yale rush-line was phenomenal, our half-backs having no chance to kick the ball. Seven touchdowns were made, a majority by Beecher, and Watkinson kicked a goal from each. The Techs made two safeties. Total score was 96-0. The Yale rush-line did perfect work, and no weak spots are discoverable in the eleven. For our team, Devens did some brilliant tackling, and was highly praised by the Yale men. Our rush-line did fairly good work.