the ball to Amherst's goal, and Fletcher made a touch-down. Holden failed to kick a goal. Twombly made another soon after, and then Holden made a third, from which a goal was successfully kicked. The Techs had been fumbling badly in the first of the inning, but now began to play in better form, and Fletcher and Twombly made two more touchdowns in quick succession. Holden tried for a goal from the field, and barely missed. Fletcher made the next touch-down, and kicked a goal.

In the second inning the Techs were much handicapped by the loss of both half-backs. The ball was now at one end of the field and now at the other. Herrick made a good rush and carried it close to Amherst's goal. Fletcher made a touchdown. No goal. Ladd made several good rushes but was well tackled by the Amherst men. Nourse, the Amherst captain, was several times hurt, and his pluck in continuing to play was loudly applauded. Score: Techs, 32; Amherst, 0. Referee, Brooks, of Harvard.

The standing in the Northern intercollegiate series is as follows: M. I. T., won 4, lost 1; Williams, won 4, lost 1; Tufts, won 2, lost 4; Amherst, won 0, lost 4. The Techs have made 178 points, in all, and 23 have been made against them. Williams has made 153, and lost 20. Tufts has completed its series. M. I. T. and Williams have each a game to play with Amherst. If both win they will be tied for first place, and an extra game will be necessary.

Wadsworth will be unable to play again this season.

The team has made great improvement in passing the ball. They tackle very strongly and kick excellently. Their weakest point is their excessive fumbling.

The effect of hard practice and honest training on the part of the foot-ball team is shown in the great improvement in recent games over those of the first of the season. The game with Yale was of great service to the men, and the formation of a freshman team has given them plenty of opponents to practice against.

Noticeable Articles.

The paper in the Edinburgh Review for October on Coleridge is not particularly good. It is singular that no good biography has yet been written of a man of whom Wordsworth said that "many men of his age had done wonderful things, but that Coleridge was the only wonderful man he had ever known," and of whom Mill said that "no Englishman, Bentham excepted, had left his impress so deeply in the opinions and mental tendencies of those among us who attempt to enlighten practice by philosophical meditations." Yet Coleridge, such were his terrible weaknesses of character, has left nothing but fragments behind him to show what great work he was capable of, if he had not been a slave to opium-eating. Mr. Traill's little book in "English Men of Letters," is thus far the best account of him.

Students who are interested in so abstract a subject as the Natural History of Religion, will read with interest the paper on the religion of the Persians, entitled, "The Faith of Iran;" while readers interested in Modern Political History will turn to the paper on the second series of the "Greville Journals,"—books in which all the famous men of Queen Victoria's reign figure, and which contain not a little social and political gossip, set down by one who was a good deal behind the scenes.

Students of Literature will be sure to turn in the Quarterly to the able and interesting paper on the predecessors of Shakespeare, a review of a recent book on the subject by that scholarly and accomplished writer, Mr. John Addington Symonds, author of the great work on the "Renaissance in Italy," and of that delightful book, "Studies of the Greek Poets." The present work, however, if we can trust the reviewers, seems hardly up to its author's level. Students of English Dramatic Literature must fall back on Prof. Ward's elaborate work. The paper itself is very good, and in the course of it, Mr. Swinburne, as a critic, comes in for a well-deserved castigation.

And students who are not frightened at the dry parts of History (which are usually the most important parts) will brace up and read a paper on "Taxes and Taxation," a review of two books which certainly cannot be recommended as light reading.—Dowell's "History of Taxation and Taxes in England, from the earliest times to the present day," four volumes, and Hale's "History of the Customs Revenue of