MIT divests D-Labs; Draper interim head

By Alex Makowski

"I conclude that we cannot over the period of the next months and years continue to manage the Draper Laboratory. . ." President Howard Johnson announced Wednesday his decision to divest the Draper Labs. An interim board has already been picked to administer the Labs over the next year while plans for complete independence are worked out.

Johnson's decision ended a seven-month experiment begun to determine the feasibility of opening up the Draper Labs to the public. Johnson said that the Labs under the Pound Panel and Corporation Executive Committee guidelines. Last fall the Executive Committee decided that MIT would no longer engage in work on "operational weapons systems."

The Lincoln Lab The Lincoln Lab will retain its affiliation. In marked contrast with the Draper Labs, Johnson's, its projects, contracts, and funding arrangements will permit it to operate within the framework of the "Operational Weapons Policy."

Conversion of the Draper Labs, though, is impossible, "without major retraction in employment levels at the Laboratory and without a serious loss of capability in what this laboratory is and what it can and does to choose in the years ahead." Elaborating, Johnson pointed out that neither money nor time is available for needed adjustments.

Financial penalties Disinvestment bears serious financial penalties for MIT's operations at the Institute. With the Draper Labs gone four million dollars in funds (Please turn to page 3)

Profs back Princeton Plan

By Alex Makowski

Unanimously and overwhelmingly approved Wednesday a motion supporting "in principle" a calendar proposal for the election campaigns next fall.

Exact specifications for an MIT version of the Princeton Plan have yet to be determined. Professor Frank Modigliani heads up a CEP subcommittee which will present specific proposals to the faculty at another meeting Tuesday afternoon.

The complete text of President Johnson's speech to the Faculty on the subject of the Special Laboratories will be found on page 5.

Earlier, Professor Robert Hoffman captured the newly-formed post of Faculty Chairman. Hoffman defeated Professor Kosta Traps, resuscitated then provide a non-partisan candidate.

Four principles. Modigliani opened the discussion of a Princeton-type Plan by listing four principles the committee deemed essential to any procedure: 1) a recognition of the educational value of electoral activities, 2) a need to emphasize the community responsibility of MIT students, 3) due note of the extensive effort MIT students have given to this subject, and 4) the recognition of being non-partisan of keeping MIT politically neutral.

He suggested a list of three proposals involving the cancellation of classes for one, one and one-half, and two week periods. Class time would be made up by trimming days from scheduled four-day weekends, exam period, and reading period. Non-exam class time and holidays involved cutting time from the already shortened class schedule.

Two week minimum was the vote for supporting the "principle" of shifting the (Please turn to page 3)

Leftist students disrupt Tuesday night hearings

By John Kassianian and Harold Fedorow

The hearings of the Faculty Committee on Discipline continued last Monday and Tuesday with the cases of six more students charged in connection with the Jan 15 and 16 occupation of the Corporation offices. The only attempt at a "B" committee was made at Tuesday's hearing.

On Monday, the defendants were to be Don Wolman '71, Rich Edelman '70, and Aaron Torval '70. Torval is no longer a student at MIT, and Edelman graduated in January, so neither of them were present. Wolman is a student and has been seen around the Institute the last few days, but for some unclear reason he did not appear either.

Tuesday's hearing also involved three defendants, Peggy Hooper '72, Jeffrey Mennebush '72, and Charles Simmons '72. The formal start of the hearing was delayed for over an hour by the insistence of the defendants that their friends and group of 30 people present, similar to the group at last Sunday's (Please turn to page 3)

Kats, Bohmer, mom jailed

By Bruce Schwartz

Peter Katz, a political activist, and George's mother went to jail yesterday. A jury found the two radicals guilty on two counts of disruption of classes. Judge George Johnson of Middlesex Superior Court Lowell sentenced them to serve two years in the Middlesex Correctional Institution and pay fines of $50. He found Mrs. Katz, an activist in the 26-100. They were told that the GM board of directors to 26-100.

The group was given a form letter by the Committee on Organization Systems, John Wynne. They were told that the committee to vote favorably on the Nader proposal enlarging the GM board of directors to 26 by the addition of three members chosen as public representatives charged with safeguarding consumer ecological, and minority interests.

No vote asked. Committee direct MIT to vote favorably on the Nader proposal altering the ballot by the Securities and Exchange Commission. It urged MIT to appoint a committee or administrative officers and to advise GM on its proper relation to society. The group also held a broad meeting within the GM board (Please turn to page 7)

By Joe Kashi

The Corporation Executive Committee has rejected the CJAC report recommending that MIT partially support Ralph Nader's "Protest to Extend Local Responsibility" in a proxy fight with General Motors. Greg Smith, CJAC chairman, in his report on Tuesday night at the meeting of the Executive Committee decided that the CJAC recommendation regarding proposal number five, however, in regard to proposal number four, there was an opinion very close to convergence with the recommendation. The Executive Committee voted for a proposal endorsed by CJAC which would have expanded the GM Board of Directors to 26 by the inclusion of three public representatives. They said that three additional board members would serve special interests rather than the general interest when they were served, CJAC had endorsed the expansion proposal by a large margin.

Campaign GM, the MIT student group affiliated with Nader in the GM fight, said that this action was a betrayal of the consensus reached by CJAC, and was contrary to MIT's responsibility to act in the public interest.

James Lee, chairman of the MIT Corporation and a GM board member, abstained from the Executive Committee vote because of a conflict of interest. It is reported that President Johnson, also a voting Executive Committee member, argued strongly favor of the CJAC report.

CJAC recommended that the Corporation Executive Committee direct MIT to vote favorably on the Nader proposal enlarging the GM board of directors to 26 by the addition of three members chosen as public representatives charged with safeguarding consumer ecological, and minority interests.

No vote asked. Committee direct MIT to vote favorably on the Nader proposal altering the ballot by the Securities and Exchange Commission. It urged MIT to appoint a committee or administrative officers and to advise GM on its proper relation to society. The group also held a broad meeting within the GM board (Please turn to page 7)

Steve Carhart testifies before CJAC at a recent open meeting on Campaign GM. The Corporation rejected the CJAC proposals.
Radicals disrupt hearings

(Continued from Page 1)

whereby a defendant could say: “I did it” or “I wouldn’t have said that if the Committee thinks that it is important, they will meet at another time to hear that witness.”

As was the pattern for the other hearings, the first witness was the complainant, Associate Professor Abraham Siegel. He was to be followed by administration officials who provided the identifying information of the student involved.

Gray read the charges when asked to, in them was the phrase “in the office without right,” which was questioned by Jeff Merenstein at this point.

Merenstein: “One thing that happened by Gray was, obviously, not in the office without right.”

Gray’s reply was, “that is right.”

Chairman Osborne reminded the defendants, as he did all night, that it was not a trial but a hearing. In its efforts to be objective as well as subjective, the hearing had trouble.

The hearing came to an end at five, the labor and junior faculty members (an assistant or associate professor) were not present.

The next day’s hearing would not be complete until 12 a.m., at which time the committee would be notified of the decisions.
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The Special Labs

The Corp and the GM

It is difficult to express in words the bitterness we feel over the MIT Corporation Executive Committee's decision to reject the Corporation Joint Advisory Committee's recommendation to vote in favor of the proposals submitted by the Campaign GM to the General Motors stockholders.

The student organizers who attempted to get MIT to support these modest reforms - reforms of a segment of our community - have been thwarted. It is a matter of record that MIT has been shortsighted if not downright careless. Its priorities. What is now needed is a national, or perhaps a global, study to examine the entire weapon budget. It is time to end the era in which our institutions could make statements that this research we look forward to. After all, there have been two new MIRV contracts to worry about - not at MIT and not at the Labs anywhere.

The battle against wasteful weapons research is thus dead. The Institute has been trying to get funds for alternative projects ever since Agenda Days last spring, and has been unable to find enough support to keep the Labs going.

I shouldn't say it, but I told a friend last spring that I was going to take this paper to the MIT community and have it published. The decision to divest is an admission of failure; an admission that a campaign can be as accomplished within the pressure context. One doesn't applaud defeat, but I feel that President Johnson regrets the failure of conversion attempts as sincerely as any of us.

The commitment to attempt to convert the Labs away from classified weapons research is thus dead. The Institute has eventually been able to get funds for alternative projects since Agenda Days last spring, and has been unable to find enough support to keep the Labs going.
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I have proposed...a divestment that protects this national asset, its personnel, and the Institute.

Johnston Reports Draper Lab divestment

I conclude that MIT can continue to manage Lincoln Laboratory essentially in the same frame as we have in the past into the future, that we cannot offer the period of stability and predictability that we continue to manage the Draper Laboratory under the restriction of the Executive Committee's directive.

Let me repeat, I believe we can continue to manage Lincoln Laboratory applying the framework of the Corporation Executive Committee's directive without material retraction in employment levels at the laboratory and without a serious dislocation or disruption of the laboratory. I believe this laboratory has set itself to do and choose to do in the near future what is necessary to right the capacity of the laboratory. I believe it is consistent to continue to impose a restriction that neither the laboratory nor its constituents would be forced to accept. Were we to force that situation, we would do wrong, and it would not work.

As you know, the fundamental emphasis and style of the two laboratories - the two central tendencies of their approaches to technology - are different. Further, unlike the Lincoln case, a single contract and a longer period of time for adjustment have assured us that we propose that Draper Laboratory, while continuing its research and development project nor the time frame to work through the necessary process of job elimination and funds are the time and the nature.

To pretend they will only do harm to the laboratory, its concept of how to apply technology and in end force an unfair end to the unstable situation.

They might be in for a long time, it is impossible to be sure of the opportunity for MIT to allow this to happen. To hurt the Draper Laboratory would be to damage the integrity of the institution, and it is a decision that would be divisive to our community and to the country that looks to us as a shield.

Accordingly, I propose, as I must legally, to the Corporation Executive Committee, and the Corporation Board of the Standing Committee of the Faculty and the Dean of the standing committee...to take the Executive Committee to the country, to do as a shield.
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Baker in an airplane crash in the early 1950's. Baker took a lively interest in the Awards and presented with the Award at a special convocation.

Outstanding freshman
In other top student awards, Gregory Chisholm '73 was named Outstanding Freshman by Tau Beta Pi. Chisholm sits on the administration's Student Advisory Group and is a member of the Black Students Union. And Campus Patrol Captain James Oliveri won the James Murphy award given annually to an Institute employee who has won a place in the hearts of the students.

Beginning his term. Then Katsiaficas was called forward. At this point, Mrs. Chrysoula Katsiaficas, who had been sitting in the gallery with her husband, became hysterical. Weeping and crying, "My son is no criminal," she approached the bench. Guards restrained her, and she struggled in their grasp. George moved toward his mother and was grabbed by six uniformed and plainclothes police. Mrs. Katsiaficas was taken from the courtroom.

George was then handcuffed and sentenced, and his support- ers left the courtroom. His mother was then brought before the bench. The Judge, upon learning her identity, asked, "Where have you been for the past four or five years?" He castigated her as a poor mother, then adjourned the case and sentenced her to ten days despite Klubock's plea for leniency (Mr. Katsiaficas had retained him on the spot to defend his wife). The Judge ordered the courtroom cleared.

As soon as Mrs. Katsiaficas had been sentenced, efforts were begun to free her. MIT officials were informed of the development at once by phone, and contacted the Institute's law office to arrange for legal help. Assistant to the President Constantine Simonides was dispatched to the Charles Street Jail, where Mrs. Katsiaficas must serve her sentence. Meanwhile, radical women who had witnessed her trial were attempting to arrange visits with her. Can't leave 'em? Then pack 'em! Everything you need to box, wrap, label, tie, seal and ship your precious belongings is in the stationery department.

PACKING SUPPLIES
Kraft Sheet 40 x 48" 2/156
Nea Tape 28¢
Wrapping Kit Rolls 30¢
Polished India Twine 50¢
Polished White Twine 75¢
Scotch Strapping Tape 30¢ to 1.00
Scotch Strapping Tape ½ x 300 75¢
Cotton Ball String 25¢
Luggage Tags 25¢/50
Coop Box 13 x 10 x 3 40¢ (ct. 12)
Corrugated Cartons 15 x 12 x 14 50¢ ea. 3/1.00
Manifold reinforced carton 75¢ ea. 2/1.30
Trolley Bicycles $1.95
Leckes 80¢ to $1.29

Relax and Divert
CAMPUS CUE
590 Commonwealth Ave.
[Opposite B. U. Tower]
Pocket Billiards
"Great for a Date"

Technique 1970 IS HERE
$5 with option $10 without in Building 10
after Friday in Technique Office, W20-457
Chomsky hits new MIRV

(Continued from page 8) In the President's current actions in Cambodia, Chomsky questioned the effectiveness of students' actions to work for "peace" and "liberation this fall. He said that only those candidates who unemotionally vow to cut off aid for Southeast Asian operations should be supported. Following the rally, SACC members met in the Student Union to prepare a leaflet and an ad to distribute. The call for a new resistance resulted. SACC member Steve Schwartz '71 attacked the Institute's handling of the Draper Labs issue. He questioned the manner in which MIT renewed funding for the MIRV project last summer, in the interim between the Pounds Commission report and the establishment of the Standing Committee on the Draper Labs chaired by Prof. Sheehan. There was thus no review of the "appropriateness" of the contract. [Referring to the SABRE contract, however, Sheehan Committee member Andrew Gilchrist '71 said that the renewal was not an acceptance of a new contract, and thus did not violate the interim moratorium on taking new weapons work first established by President Johnson last spring and renewed by the Corporation Executive Committee last October.] That guideline against new war work will disappear June 1 as the Draper divestment begins. Schwartz said that this means Draper Labs will be free to "take on more dirty work." Finally, he attacked the composition of the board of trustees appointed for the transitional year, noting that it consists of no workers or "representatives of the public interest." The Tech wishes to announce that, as of 10 pm Thursday, May 21, 1970, it is in Daily Reamer mode until the end of the term. The Daily Reamer will appear on Tuesday, May 26, 1970.

MIT Corporation will back GM management

(Continued from page 1) framework. CJAC has asked the Executive Committee to forward a letter to GM requesting that such an advisory committee be organized in a purely advisory capacity. The letter will stress that MIT conforms such a committee to be in GM's long-range interest. Attention has been recommended on the disposition of the other seven proposals that the Project on Corporate Responsibility is expected to bring up from the floor at the GM meeting, since earlier this year, the SEC ruled that GM did not have to put these motions on the ballot. These motions deal with a broad range of pollution, safety, and minority problems. MIT will assign its proxy to the GM management with explicit directions to vote as detailed above. Among the other options available were assigning its proxy directly to Nader, and sending representatives to today's annual GM shareholder meeting.

In rejecting the seven proposals not on the ballot, CJAC stated that the action, implicit in these motions would come under the aegis of the expanded board and the proposed advisory committee.

"We find our institutions caught in a savage crossfire between uncritical lovers and unloving critics."

John W. Gardner

The former Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare of the Kennedy administration, sums up his vision of what our institutions are as he calls for a sane awakening to social renewal. He asks us to find, "that hard, long, exciting task of building a new America."

"Our problem is not to find better values but to be faithful to those we profess," Gardner says.

The help of MIT undergraduates, graduates, and professors is needed; these people and community volunteers will provide the actual

"...and the obligations of leadership, he gets to the heart of the matter: "Their duty is to teach, criticize, reform, persuade and push—but not with contempt."

W. W. Norton & Co. $5
SACC seeks open meeting

**By Bruce Schwartz and Bob Dennis**

Claiming that most work in the Draper Laboratories is part of an aim set forth by the American first-strike capability, SACC has called upon Corporation Chairmen James B. Conant and President Howard Johnson to convene "an open MIT community meeting" next Tuesday.

The purpose of the meeting is to allow them to answer "questions directed to them from the MIT community." In calling for the meeting, SACC members cited the "rather scanty notice" of recent decisions made by the MIT administration and the MIT Corporation, referring to the D-Lab diversion decision and the Corporation Executive Committee decision to support the General Motors management rather than the Project on Corporate Responsibility in voting 292,000 GM shares owned by MIT.

**292,000 GM shares owned by MIT.**

-SACC members Jim Steele and Jerry Meldor, both grad students, presented a letter calling for the meeting to the Chair- man's office yesterday afternoon. The letter was also signed by three editors of The Tech.

Earlier in the day, speaking before a SACC-sponsored noon time rally on the Student Center steps, Prof. Noam Chomsky de- clared that the "movement at MIT should not be satisfied with President Johnson's decision on the Special Laboratories.

Although noting that the diversion of the Draper Labo- ratory did have its aimless aspects, Chomsky instead em- phasized that the President tim- ingly created a shield for the Laboratory so that it might con- tinue its war-related work unh- impeded by those who oppose such projects. He criticized the makeup of the new Draper Lab board of trustees and the "representatives of the Lab work- ers."

Chomsky further stated that he had always been more op- posed to the sale of the lab than to that in the Draper Lab, asserting that the former was involved in "counter-invasionism" work.

Noting that there was little Congress could do to halt FIN CLASS Sailboat with trailer for sale, $1000; or summer charter to / 28 feet, $100. Near BU, Brook- line. 16 Eliot St., Cambridge. 457-2141.

APARTMENT TO SHARE: Groovy loving people to share our 7 1/2 fur- nished rooms including kitchen, TV, radio, etc. $175 per man, $150 per woman. Move in September 1st. 2 bedroom, $190. Near BU, Brook- line. 16 Eliot St., Cambridge. 457-2141.

DONT SCRAMBLE for an apartment.

S.A.R.E. has over 100 for single graduate groups. Call 314-0710 or see us at 926 Beacon St. Boston. We are open evenings and weekends for your convenience.

100 MEMORIAL DRIVE: Do you have an apartment there? Do you plan to have one in the next 6 months? If so, don't terminate your lease. You may be able to stay there for 2 years. I will pay you the 2 1/2 months you owe (if you pay us to discharge your lease to us) plus an additional 500 for your effort. Call collect - 314-0768-0918.

Old typewriters - 3 Smith-Corona, 1 Underwood - $5 or best offer. Con- tinued...

Robert F. Glass...
Correction

The verdicts in last week's trial of graduate students Jon Kabat and Charles Cole were incorrectly reported in last Friday's issue.

Kabat was found not guilty of possession of marijuana, while Cole was not charged at all for that offense.

Both were found guilty of being rude and disorderly persons; that case is now being appealed.

On a third charge, of disrupting a school—stemming from the students' attempt to canvas Cambridge High and Latin—they were found not guilty.

The Tech regrets the error.

The format of this supplement was necessitated by the printer's press setup. The Tech will resume its regular twice-weekly publication schedule next fall.
Aww, gee

While the Reamer cannot condone the recent actions of several individuals, it does deplore the lack of constructive action this campus has seen in a long time.

It will probably be necessary in the future to draw firmly lines which have heretofore been blurred to delimit the kinds of rights which have been violated with increasing frequency. Despite all the verbiage and palaver, the community as a whole cannot passively suffer the unchecked affronts of concerned people who would like to do something relevant to make the Institute a more reasonable place. If the student body is ever to be considered as an integral part of the MIT community, it must adhere to a little chunk of what is wrong with society, a small thickness of the shell of rationality that is their very own to dump on.

Maureen's passions would be some variety of garden vegetable, so naturally complete success is impossible, but one must try on that account. True, much has been written about the over-all decision making process at the Institute. This will no longer do. Yet attempting even to discuss the problem can be an extremely frustrating experience ampli-

There, I confiscated a small pipe of the type used by opium smokers, 'I'm the referee, you know.' I could mouth the words of art like 'socialist realism' belonging to the Chairman. Captain Oliveri testified that Johnson Jr. was found 'lying on the carpet in the President's office, I believe the expression is stone cold of his gourd.' I confiscated a small pipe of the type used by opium addicts.'

Oliveri notified the Cambridge police the day he conducted his 'pin-point bust' with the assistance of this agent, the Boston's Tactical Patrol Force.

Beaten in station

At his trial on April 23, young Michael Johnson claimed that he had been beaten by police in the station after his' arrest. Judge Porker refused to hear any testimony on the subject, saying "it has been sufficiently made out in this case.

Johnson was found guilty and sentenced to one month in Bilbo and a $50 fine.

Johnson's lawyer, Bob Sullyin, appealed the sentence to a jury, which returned on the same question, "It has been sufficiently made out in this case.

Johnson's wife, Phyllis, appealed the sentence to the Massachusetts General Hospital. After this, the Reamer is in the process of making a 50-cent contribution to the MIT Police

Dedicated to the camera, stripping, and press crews and staff of STI Publishing; which long-suffering waiters awkwardly for this gooft for over 26 hours. . . .

Thanks from the staff of The Daily Reamer.
Why Savings Bank Life Insurance belongs in the portfolio of every contemporary man and woman.

Savings Bank Life Insurance is America's lowest cost life insurance for all Ordinary Life, Endowment, and Renewable Term. That's one reason why.

For example, under the SBLI 5-year Renewable Term Plan, a man of 40 can buy $25,000 in Savings Bank Life Insurance for less than $100 a year* (at age 25, the cost is less than $75 a year**). This makes it possible to provide extra protection — at lowest cost — at a time when families need it most. In addition, an SBLI 5-year term policy is automatically renewable and convertible to any one of several permanent policies up to age 65, without additional medical examination.

This assures continuity of protection, no ... or what health condition might develop.

Another reason why is that although Massachusetts Savings Bank Life Insurance is available only to people who live or work in Massachusetts, you can keep any amount you own at the same low premiums even if you should leave the state.

To learn more about the many kinds of SAVINGS BANK LIFE INSURANCE, visit our bank and ask for a free copy of the informative SBLI FACTS booklet. While you're there, you'll be pleased to answer any questions you may have about SBLI. And don't you have to be a depositor or customer of the bank to receive this service.

*Average net annual payments for 5 years, based on 1949 Savings Bank Life Insurance dividend scale.

S.B.L.I. IS 4TH IN THE AMOUNT OF ORDINARY LIFE INSURANCE IN FORCE IN MASSACHUSETTS OF APPROXIMATELY 50 LIFE INSURANCE COMPANIES LICENSED IN THE STATE.

Savings Bank Life Insurance

By Bigelow Rug

The following review was not going to be printed. It was written on a bleak evening when my only entertainment was a turkey sandwich whose contents dropped from between the slices of rye like a tongue from the mouth of a sick dog.

I had, since then, mellowed, and until last night, looked upon the Pisspots as an under-taker might look upon a corpse — with distaste, but with need.

Last night, I ordered a hot fudge sundae. It looked promising when the soda jerk placed two large balls of ice cream in the cup, and liberally dribbled fudge upon them. Then he noticed that the whipped cream was missing. After five minutes of search, he handed me the confection, the ice cream now melted, the fudge ruberry.

I refused to pay full price.

The cashier told me to fuck off. I swore to destroy Twenty Pisspots in the Kemex. He asked me if I knew who he was. I told him I didn't care. (I don't know who he is.)

At this point, the soda jerk announced that he had recovered the whipped cream.

I handed him the ruins of the sundae. He sprayed it with greyson, dumped something you may have about SBLI. And don't you have to be a depositor or customer of the bank to receive this service.

Wash, befooled by turds

(Come on page 1)

President Nixon is reported in isolation behind closed windows waiting for federal troops to begin dig a trench around the White House in anticipation of demonstrations planned by the Excremental Committee to End the War, which has asked for a massive influx of asses into the capitol.

One hundred thousand students are expected to participate.

The Committee is a loose collection of young people who last week announced their intention to start the shit* and began placing advertisements in newspapers across the nation which included instructions for the manufacture of prosthetic turds.

On the line

In Washington, the Post Office announced that it was powerless to take legal action against turd mailers unless the turds were alive or arose from the prurient interest of postal inspectors. However, the President's rider on a Civil Rights bill, he reassuringly explained.

But then, the bill of fare is offered at Twenty Pisspots, opening at 1 am and closing at 1 am, leaves this reviewer with a bad taste in the mouth.

Particularly repulsive is the performance of Peta White '72 as Sandwich Maker. Mr. White has previously appeared briefly as Night Editor in the production of The Tech (still playing in Cambridge), and is currently moonlighting as President, sponsored by MIT's Class of 1972. He should therefore have a reasonable amount of acting experience, but, as we found his Turkey unappetizing, his Hot Beef tasteless, and his Ham unattractive.

But then, all of Twenty Pisspots has gone plastic recently. Perhaps worse off is Hamburger; due to illness, its part has been taken over by several unsatisfactory substitutes.

We also found the lighting and acoustics less than desirable.

Those with jaded palates will not be pleased; those without may find their palates jaded when they leave.

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS
ME'S SYSTEMS ANALYSTS
COMPUTER PROGRAMMERS RANDOMS

Note that we've gone independent, at Dropper, from paper to paper. Your East End, and the East End of a Great Eastern University, are moving — and moving fast. And that means opportunity — for you.

We're growing. Tackling new projects. More projects. That means we need more people. Trained, intelligent, creative and industrious people — people like you.

We at Dropper think of ourselves as being a mission. We're a national entity, with an annual budget of over $55 million, and it's growing all the time. We develop prototypes of guidance systems, for spacecraft, for industry, for defense. We help keep America strong and safe.

For example, we're the people who developed the guidance system for the Navy's P-360 missile. We also provided the instrumentation that got APOLLO to the moon and back.

When you come to Dropper, you're not just another white collar — you're part of a team; part of a family. Our founder, world famous gyro Dr. Charles Stick Dropper, will greet you personally. When you come into our employ, and "Dodd" and "bigger" will adorn your very first paycheck.

Dropper is APOLLO, MIRV, NASA, SABRE, ABM, VTOL, and the new Civil Disturbance Civil System (CDCS), and much more.

Dropper is for whichever and whenever better control systems are needed.

Compliments Available to do typing of reports, term papers, theses. Work done either at our office or MIT students' residence, girls supplied to work in your room 264-8580.

Savings Bank Life Insurance

To 5:10 401-9189
KENDALL BARBER SHOP
KENDALL BUILDING
228 MAIN STREET
MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY

FREE MOVIE

For additional information, call LSC

"Horrorsome Entertainment" — Public Occurrences
"No redeeming qualities whatsoever" — Boston Globe
"An intelligently handled copy of the free copy of the informative SBLI FACTS booklet. While you're there, you'll be pleased to answer any questions you may have about SBLI. And don't you have to be a depositor or customer of the bank to receive this service.

Wash, befooled by turds

(Come on page 1)

President Nixon is reported in isolation behind closed windows waiting for federal troops to begin dig a trench around the White House in anticipation of demonstrations planned by the Excremental Committee to End the War, which has asked for a massive influx of asses into the capitol.

One hundred thousand students are expected to participate.

The Committee is a loose collection of young people who last week announced their intention to start the shit* and began placing advertisements in newspapers across the nation which included instructions for the manufacture of prosthetic turds.

On the line

In Washington, the Post Office announced that it was powerless to take legal action against turd mailers unless the turds were alive or arose from the prurient interest of postal inspectors. However, the President's rider on a Civil Rights bill, he reassuringly explained.

But then, the bill of fare is offered at Twenty Pisspots, opening at 1 am and closing at 1 am, leaves this reviewer with a bad taste in the mouth.

Particularly repulsive is the performance of Peta White '72 as Sandwich Maker. Mr. White has previously appeared briefly as Night Editor in the production of The Tech (still playing in Cambridge), and is currently moonlighting as President, sponsored by MIT's Class of 1972. He should therefore have a reasonable amount of acting experience, but, as we found his Turkey unappetizing, his Hot Beef tasteless, and his Ham unattractive.

But then, all of Twenty Pisspots has gone plastic recently. Perhaps worse off is Hamburger; due to illness, its part has been taken over by several unsatisfactory substitutes.

We also found the lighting and acoustics less than desirable.

Those with jaded palates will not be pleased; those without may find their palates jaded when they leave.

Free movie!

For additional information, call LSC

"Horrorsome Entertainment" — Public Occurrences
"No redeeming qualities whatsoever" — Boston Globe
"An intelligently handled copy of the free copy of the informative SBLI FACTS booklet. While you're there, you'll be pleased to answer any questions you may have about SBLI. And don't you have to be a depositor or customer of the bank to receive this service.
New Tute to rise from MIT ashes

(Continued from page 1)

At present, these reports are unconfirmed. Faculty comment Faculty reaction is still diffi-
cult to gauge. Especially hard hit would be the aeronautical engineering profes-
sor, shielded until recently, whose talents.

A statement issued after the conference describes the group's plans: "The elimination of un-
undergraduates from the community of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and the no legaliza-
tion of marijuana in Canada have prompted our group to accept the kind offer of funding
from the Province of Manitoba and we shall shortly begin the process of organizing a new MIT
(Massachusetts Institute of Technolo-
y) in a land where both mind
and knowledge, as well as the campus site, will be unimpaired and almost limitless expansion."

Maryland and his group indicated to the press that all pre-

mit undergraduates will be automatically admitted to the new MIT to be located in the
city of New Cambridge, as yet little more than a quaint tourist
area on the Pierre River. Tuition at the new Institute will be $230 per year, with the remainder of the
funding from industrial con-


Mail Summer Cottages for Rent: In foothills of White Mts., secluded, all
conveniences, fireplaces, sandy beach. Special rates for honey-
moons. Reserve now. Write Bear Mt. Village, Box M South Warren,

Mai 04016 or 207-583-2541.

DON'T SCRAMBLE for an apart-
ment, S.K.S. REALTY has over 100
for singles and groups. Call 316-0730 or see us at 906 Beacon St. Boston.

We are open evenings and weekends for your convenience.

Summer Sabote: private rooms in 6
beds. rate $10.00, will bargain.

M. O. Call 262-1489.

WANTED—good typists to do news-
paper typesetting work at odd hours for the magazine, contact Robert Rosen on 298 Caltech at The

MIT. 6-5655 or MIT ext. 2731.

1. Gentle Arthur's Used Dept. at Audio Lab, 16 Eliot St., Cambridge.

491-0390. Guarantee from 30 days to one year. Clip this ad for addi-
tional small discount.

16. Sony TC-540 portable stereo tape

recorder. $400, new, $279 in

perfect condition with six month warranty.

17. Ampex 970 portable three band
tape machine—really classic, still

working fine. $750 new, now $125.

18. Gentle Arthur's Used Dept. at Audio Lab, 16 Eliot St., Cambridge.

491-0390. Guarantee from 30 days to one year. Clip this ad for addi-
tional small discount.


a lot of people think this is the best
tuner ever made—find out for

yourself for $325 with walnut case, $430 new. Six month warranty.

6. We've licked our rodent problem.

lightly used mouse traps some
turds in with—$1.00.

7. Fisher 600 plus 110 watt FM
tuner. very sensitive. 30
day warranty.

9. KLH 27-70 watt AM/FM stereo re-
ceiver in good shape with

warranty. $120, new. Six month warranty.

10. One used pound scale- from our

store. $120. new as is, and in

mint condition, $79.00.

11. Heathkit stereo radio two pieces

$100, new, $50.00.

12. Dyna PAS 3X factory wired

and almost new. $75.00.

13. Sony 5110 130 watt (RMS) transistORIZED stereo integrated ampli-

er. $400, new, now $129 with a

month warranty.

14. Custom made power multipliers

for all stereo amps—smooth output up to 1.000 times—$99.

15. Fisher FM 200B FM stereo tuner; $139.00.


16. Heathkit stereo radio two pieces

$100, new, $50.00.

12. Dyna PAS 3X factory wired

and almost new $75.00. Thirty day warranty.

10. One used pound scale from our

store. $120. new as is, and in

mint condition, $79.00.

11. Heathkit stereo radio two pieces

$100, new, $50.00.

12. Dyna PAS 3X factory wired

and almost new. $75.00.

13. Sony 5110 130 watt (RMS) transistORIZED stereo integrated ampli-

er. $400, new, now $129 with a

month warranty.

14. Custom made power multipliers

for all stereo amps—smooth output up to 1.000 times—$99.
This year’s commencement will maintain the essentials of the traditional ceremonies while departing from the norm in certain ways in accordance with the tense national situation.

A 1:30 pm speech in Kresge by former Kennedy aide Richard Goodwin will keynote an afternoon of workshops for graduating students and their parents on Thursday, June 11. The workshops will cover many issues of current concern. Anyone wishing to organize or lead one should contact Mrs. Carolyn Cox (Room 7-121, x2851).

President Howard Johnson will address current problems in an 8 pm speech in Kresge Thursday night.

The commencement ceremony, somewhat modified, begins at 10:30 am Friday to be followed by the traditional luncheon in the Great Court.