28 free on good behavior

By Bruce Peets

The StudentWb opened the new term Tuesday night with a recommendation to postpone discipline of those involved in the occupation of President Johnson’s office.

A resolution drafted by Kevin George ‘71 stated that the General Assembly felt any further adjudication of the persons involved in the occupation was unnecessary and that any disciplinary action be postponed until the end of the year. Professor Wolman ‘71, appointed as presiding officer, agreed.

The resolution was passed by a roll call vote of 37 to 13.

President Howard Johnson to the Corporation’s Panel recommendations on the special laboratories. Johnson would, in that event, make a report to the faculty on the proposal. The proposal was specifically directed against the resolution of the Special Laboratories’ members, including Ancher Shapiro and Thomas King calling for divestment of the 10 man SCSL that makes recommendations on the special laboratories.

By Alex Makowski

Talbots’ move to the floor, the faculty Wed-

nesday delayed taking any stands on the future of the Special Labs.

Francis Low’s motion con-
demning high-accuracy MIRV’s

did not carry, and Bill Gilchrist’s motion for a

month of Jan-

uary, There would involve the month of Jan-

uary. There would be no class-

outgoing president.

The terms of the ceasefire are as follows: Judge Parker made a written statement that the evidence was sufficient to war-

rant a finding of guilt. However, if at the end of the eight months a defendant has not been convicted of a criminal offense, the charges will be dis-
mis sed. If a defendant has

conviction against him, the guilty finding stands and the judge may impose sentence. The defendant will still be entitled to an appeal for a jury trial, if

The 28 charged with trespass

were:

Michael Albert ’69, Michael An-

sara, Peter Bettman, Rich Coir-

toff, Tom Gomez ’76, Greg Halpen

’71, Robin Hahnel, Pippy Hopper

’71, Professor James Munkres

XXI, George Karatsuba ’70, James Klip-

patrick, Pete Kramer ’70, David

Kerby ’72, Jeff Meruelle ’72, Wij-

nam Murray, Nestor Nanian, Michael O’Connor, Susan Orchard, Pauli Orsi, David Paterson, John Pounds, Hilda Sanders, John Sheehan, David Skehan, Donald Wolman ’71, and Mike Zaremba.

The special laboratories.

and students would be able to

make use of the month in what-

ever fashion they deemed most

appropriate for themselves. Sec-

ond term registration would be

at the beginning of February and the term would be similar to the first except for the addition of a week-long spring vacation.

CEP enthusiasts

Professor of Mathematics James Munkres, chairman of the committee which drafted the proposals, said that on the basis of their discussion, he judged the sentiment was in favor of the new plan. Martin said that the CEP was “rather enthusiast-

ic” about the plan, and that the Faculty Committee seemed pleased with it.

According to Martin, several departments have already sub-

mitted suggestions for activities during the study period, which he said varied quite a bit from department to department. Munk-

res said that the plans ranged from seminars to short, intense courses for guest lecturers. He also said that the departments might

advise students on independent readings. Martin thought that

GA seeks discipline delay

Undergraduates favor independent study plan

By Lee Giguere

Eighty-nine percent of the undergraduates made the “independent study plan” their first choice among the alternatives on the academic calendar poll earlier this month.

Subject to the final approval of its wording by the Committee on Educational Policy, a motion calling for the adoption of the new calendar will come before the faculty next Wednesday. Professor William T. Martin, chairman of the committee, said it had already approved the spirit of the motion.

If it is approved, the new calendar would be in effect in September.

Examiners

The plan begins the first se-

mester on the first Monday after Labor Day, nearly two weeks earlier than at present. There would be two four-weekend

semester in the first semester, one in October and one in November. A shortened, optional final exam period would take place the last week of December before the Christmas recess.

The most important change would be the addition of one month of Jan-

uary. There would be no class-

having a certain degree of res-

ponsibility to the national inter-

est and the MIT community.”

For the next hour and a half, a dozen speakers debated three pos-

A shortened, optional final exam

period would take place the last

week of December before the

Christmas recess.

the seven month period granted

a conviction against him, the
guilty finding stands and the
defendant may impose sentence.
The defendant will still be entitled
to an appeal for a jury trial, if
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The MIT Faculty postspone lab vote

(Continued from page 1)

...the extent to which the defend-

ants and their supporters in the

Campus Court proceedings faced
difficulties concerning the pol-

tical climate of the occupation.

Thursday, Howard Johnson,

Jeremy Wiesner, Roy Carthy,

and Ken Kellogg. Wadleigh among others had been

indicted for participation in the
case. The trial ended before they

were called to testify.

**Trial Chronology**

By Bruce Schwartz

4:05 AM. After moving to a

second floor of the jail, the

spectators, the trial begins with

Don Wolfh bathing, as expected.

On the dock are his
to the prisons.

An o-er the labs ad-

lib.

2 pm. Simonides never resumes the

The second new Navy con-

tracts, a small one—$90,000—

for the development of a

report for the Laboratory fac-

tories.

Funding changes and/or term-

nations are not referred to for

the reasons of the de- the

The Navy’s basic Polaris, in-

the new Navy contracts.

No action on Poseidon

Dr. Hill reported that there

the trial. The judge asks

Two of the Laboratory’s ex-

Air Force contracts on

In D-Lab contracting

The new summary issued on D-Lab contracting

MIT's Draper Laboratories has received two contracts from the...
By Lee Giguere

The reaction of several of the radicals at the March 2 MITROS-
SMC meeting to the campus attendance war, "Why isn't there
anyone here to play a demonstration
against the Army?"

The meeting, however, with discussions of
the politics behind having the demonstration interrupted, who
the action should be aimed at reaching, etc., all during what
was supposed to be a discussion limited to tactics in spite of
one suggestion that this whole idea be dropped for lack of
interest.

Somebody who commented that several people had asked him
to tell them what was decided just missed realizing why
no one was there. It wasn't that
there is no one interested in
ending the war - much more
likely, students are simply tired
of attending interminable
meetings at which "one person
gets up and makes his point only
to be followed by someone who
cuts down his arguments and
gives his own viewpoints," as
one student at the meeting put
it.

Every radical meeting of any size seems to follow the same
sequence of events. First, there is
a long discussion about who
should be allowed to attend the
meeting, usually resulting in
the expulsion of at least one group
which doesn't agree with the
sponsoring group's politics.
Next, there comes the internal
discussion of ideology. Only
after these preliminaries have
been accomplished is the group
able to get down to business.
Unfortunately, by this time,
several hours have passed and
interest is overshadowed by
fatigue.

Radical meetings, it seems,
have a distinct problem with
getting down to brass tacks. It
may be that mass meetings are
simply not an effective way of
getting things done. For a move-
ment which puts participatory
democracy at the top of its list
of goals, this may be an irre-
mountable problem.

Mass meetings have been ef-
efective at other times, however.
During the occupation of Presi-
dent Johnson's office, and im-
mediately before the November
Actions, for instance, such
meetings have been able to at-
tract considerable interest. Ap-
parently it is impossible to at-
tract interest without some sort
of confrontation to disturb
people.

The mass meeting seldom
avoids becoming boring unless
the situation is already tense
enough to create interest. Rad-
icals who are interested in at-
tracting large-scale support must
teach themselves that very few
students have either the time or
the interest to attend an endless
series of endless meetings. If the
radicals learn timing, they will
clearly be able to draw mass
support for their activities. They
may decide that the "revolu-
tion" is not important for the
masses, after all, but only for
those who are willing to sacrifice
all their other interests.

Hendrix Electronics
Inc.

is seeking Practical Engineers in the following disciplines:
Computer Science; Circuit Design; Logic Design;
Part and full time positions on all levels.

Hendrix Electronics
builds interactive programmable computer terminals
and man-machine peripherals.

Ed Berg, Director of Engineering will be interviewing at the
Placement Center of March 18, 1970, interviews will be from
9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

**Analysis**

Mass rallies pose dilemma

WOULD YOU LIKE TO START YOUR OWN CHURCH?

The reaction of several of the radicals at the March 2 MITROS-MSC meeting to the campus attendance war, "Why isn't there anyone here to play a demonstration against the Army?"

The meeting, however, with discussions of the politics behind having the demonstration interrupted, who the action should be aimed at reaching, etc., all during what was supposed to be a discussion limited to tactics in spite of one suggestion that this whole idea be dropped for lack of interest.

Somebody who commented that several people had asked him to tell them what was decided just missed realizing why no one was there. It wasn't that there is no one interested in ending the war - much more likely, students are simply tired of attending interminable meetings at which "one person gets up and makes his point only to be followed by someone who cuts down his arguments and gives his own viewpoints," as one student at the meeting put it.

Every radical meeting of any size seems to follow the same sequence of events. First, there is a long discussion about who should be allowed to attend the meeting, usually resulting in the expulsion of at least one group which doesn't agree with the sponsoring group's politics. Next, there comes the internal discussion of ideology. Only after these preliminaries have been accomplished is the group able to get down to business. Unfortunately, by this time, several hours have passed and interest is overshadowed by fatigue.

Radical meetings, it seems, have a distinct problem with getting down to brass tacks. It may be that mass meetings are simply not an effective way of getting things done. For a movement which puts participatory democracy at the top of its list of goals, this may be an insurmountable problem.

Mass meetings have been effective at other times, however. During the occupation of President Johnson's office, and immediately before the November Actions, for instance, such meetings have been able to attract considerable interest. Apparently it is impossible to attract interest without some sort of confrontation to disturb people.

The mass meeting seldom avoids becoming boring unless the situation is already tense enough to create interest. Radicals who are interested in attracting large-scale support must teach themselves that very few students have either the time or the interest to attend an endless series of endless meetings. If the radicals learn timing, they will clearly be able to draw mass support for their activities. They may decide that the "revolution" is not important for the masses, after all, but only for those who are willing to sacrifice all their other interests.

**Announcements**

- The General Assembly Executive Committee will meet 5 pm on March 16, in W20-400 (fourth floor of the student center). This meeting is OPEN!
- The Mangla Dam course will give an illustrated presentation of their recent trip to Pakistan and Europe on Monday, March 16, from 1:30 to 2 pm in Room 1-190. Nine students will discuss the major civil engineering projects they visited and give an occasional experience of a course of this type. Of particular interest will be Pakistan's Mangla Dam—the largest dam in the world. Everyone is welcome.
- All motions and business for the March 24 General Assembly meeting should be brought to the Agenda Committee meeting Monday, March 16, at 7:30 pm in room 400 of the Student Center. All GA participants and the public are invited.
- There will be an open meeting of the Corporation Joint Advisory Committee on Monday, March 17, at 3:30 in the Jackson Room.
- The MIT Faculty meeting on Special Laboratories which was held on Wednesday, March 11, will be broadcast over WTBS starting at 2 pm on Sunday, March 15.
- Pete Bohmer, Rich Edelman, and George Kastilias of RSA Luxembourg SIDS will be featured in a panel discussion concerning the work of the "Cambridge 28". The discussion, arranged by Joel Davis of WTBS will be broadcast tonight, Monday, March 16, at 6 pm.
- Nominations for the Goodwin Medalist are now being accepted by the Dean of the Graduate School. Please submit the names of any candidates to Dean Irwin W. Singer, Room 3-114, before March 20, 1970. These nominations may be made by any student or faculty member. Faculty nominations should be submitted through the Head of the nominee's department of appointment; student nominations should be submitted to the Undergraduate Association (for undergraduates) or the Graduate Student Council (for graduate students). The Goodwin Medal is awarded in recognition of conspicuously effective teaching by a graduate student who is either a Teaching Assistant or an Instructor.
- The annual MIT-Rad Cross Blood Drive will be held March 11-20. This year's goal is 2144.3 pints (exactly 100 pints higher than last year's total). To donate see your solicitor or obtain a form at the booth in the Jackson Room. For information on scheduling or eligibility, call x7711 or x3788.
- STUDY ABROAD! This requires immediate attention for freshmen and juniors. The Rotary Club in your hometown offers you a totally graduate fellowship or a totally undergraduate scholarship for study abroad during the academic year 1971-72. You must apply by March 15, 1970. For information, see Dean Hazen, Room 1-207, x5243.

**THE UNIVERSITY BLAZER and our bold-striped Odd Trousers**

For blazer—or any other solid color sport jacket—we believe you will find these handsome Odd Trousers a most distinctive complement. Woven exclusively for us in Scotland, they come in bold stripings in Tartan colorings of red, navy and green...or yellow green and red. Even sizes 30 to 36.

**Pocket Billiards**

"Great for a Date"

Ed Berg, Director of Engineering will be interviewing at the Placement Center of March 18, 1970, interviews will be from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.
The Special Labs vote?

Once again the MIT faculty has given its tacit approval to President Howard Johnson. This time the issue was the D-Labs. By the conclusion of Wednesday's Special Faculty Meeting, the Faculty had expressed to the President the feeling of the Labs. Following the meeting, the Special Faculty received an emergency briefing on the findings of the President's Office. It is unfortunate that the Faculty was not inclined to voice its collective opinion in the form of a vote on the Special Labs at hand. Surely, a vote would have been a more effective method of expressing to the President the feeling of the Faculty on these issues. The discussion generated prior to tabling was uneventful, consisting of the same few people expressing the same ideas they have expressed on numerous previous occasions. Unfortunately, this meeting only makes the great majority of faculty appear more silent and unwilling to voice its opinion.

With the exception of a few vocal members it remains a total mystery as to how many faculty disagree with Professor Shapiro's motion for divestment. As it stands, divestment remains an unimplemented and ineffective move. It should be opposed divestment, then who supports it? If divestment is such a clearly defined proposal, then why no vote? In the future, the Faculty must make its opinions heard in a positive way if its opinions are to be respected.

Analysis

MIRV who decides?

By Alex Makowski

Wednesday observers at the faculty meeting may well have been surprised to see again to the traditional liberal argument that a university is a forum supporting a political stance. Half a dozen professors took the mike to insist that, while supporting the moral case of Professor Low's MIRV motion, they thought it an inappropriate subject for a faculty vote.

Indeed, debate on this motion began well before the meeting. A letter drafted by Professor Low and Zacharias and signed by a dozen other faculty was circulated early in the week. Their arguments for tabling the motion were that the administration would not be able to make a decision on the MIRV question, and that the motion was proposed on a technical basis.

"If not us, who?" If the faculty of the nation's most prestigious technical institute is not competent to judge the merits of a weapons system, who will make the decision? Should it be Senator Stennis, who has admitted his own lack of understanding? Or how about John Foster or David Packard? Certainly they are qualified to make an objective analysis: Packard formerly served as a member of Congress, while Foster served as chief of staff to President Nixon at the Senate hearings last spring.

Or we could leave the decision to Professor Draper. Although a co-signer of that letter, he has no doubts about his own understanding of the strategic decisions:

"Rationality as a basis for settling disputes can be effective only if the parties involved have mutual respect toward those of a contrary standpoint. If not, a precise statement of the feasible conditions for resolving the situation is required by the application of force. One of the most important factors in the establishment of technology has been to help develop a mutual understanding and respect among military decision-makers."

The administration decision to take all of the cases of the defendants back to court is wrong and the acceptable motivations for those protests. Why not now? The civil court has failed to do this in Tuesday's rather confusing decision for bringing in an additional new judge. The action of the defendants in arguing for a continuance has been an act of cowardice in the face of battle. It is, after all, a perfectly acceptable strategy of the revolutionary struggle when a major confusion becomes necessary. Undoubtedly, the arguments of political will appear if there are any cases in the future.

The verdict of the civil court will presently deter the trail defendants from acting as an manner which would result in criminal conviction. Unfortunately, these actions have not been defined. Until, are, it becomes clear that the Court's verdict has charged nothing at all.

Trial verdict

Tuesday, the Third District Middlesex Court handed down a continuance of the cases of those persons arrested during the March 12 occupation of the President's Office. In this way the Court concluded, with the consent of the defendant, that there was sufficient evidence to prove guilt, but there was a lack of sufficient evidence on the question of "political motivation" as a justification for actions, which would have been an important step in delineating the acceptable limits of dissent.

The administration decision to take all of the cases to court for a "speedy disposition" was in part motivated by the fact that MIT's own judicial process had broken down and could not therefore be considered acceptable to the community. It becomes clear that at some point the administration is going to have to explicitly state its positions on the defensible and acceptable motivations for those protests. Why not now? The civil court has failed to do this in Tuesday's rather confusing decision for bringing in an additional new judge. The action of the defendants in arguing for a continuance has been an act of cowardice in the face of battle. It is, after all, a perfectly acceptable strategy of the revolutionary struggle when a major confusion becomes necessary. Undoubtedly, the arguments of political will appear if there are any cases in the future.

The verdict of the civil court will presently deter the trial defendants from acting in a manner which would result in criminal conviction. Unfortunately, these actions have not been defined. Until, are, it becomes clear that the Court's verdict has charged nothing at all and affected very few.

Letters to the Tech

To the Editor:

By breaking explicit threatening to precipitate an identity crisis in my life. The March 12 issue described me as a member of MITSDS because I represented both campus SDS groups and the Theses of Capitalists.

I am a member of Rosa Luxemburg SDS. I am not native and have not even been a member of MITSDS. The speech I gave at the campus SDS forum stated my position on the student movement as a whole, not as a member of a specific group. Nonetheless, I have been called a member of the New Unificationists, which I am not a member of that organization, though I was during my first few weeks at MIT. Furthermore, I am not a member of SACC, UCSD's SDS, or the Theses of Capitalists. (We understand, for instance, that the Theses of Capitalists have received an invitation to recruit by United Fruit was not politically different from those challenging against the OCS recruiter. SMC did not see the connection.

I have been on this incident only because it is not Tech's first error in identifying me. Within the last month, I have been called a member of the New Unificationists, which I am not a member of that organization, though I was during my first few weeks at MIT. Furthermore, I am not a member of SACC, UCSD's SDS, or the Theses of Capitalists. (We understand, for instance, that the Theses of Capitalists have received an invitation to recruit by United Fruit was not politically different from those challenging against the OCS recruiter. SMC did not see the connection.

It has been an incident in my life. The March 12 issue described me as a member of MITSDS because I represented both campus SDS groups and the Theses of Capitalists.

I am a member of Rosa Luxemburg SDS. I am not native and have not even been a member of MITSDS. The speech I gave at the campus SDS forum stated my position on the student movement as a whole, not as a member of a specific group. Nonetheless, I have been called a member of the New Unificationists, which I am not a member of that organization, though I was during my first few weeks at MIT. Furthermore, I am not a member of SACC, UCSD's SDS, or the Theses of Capitalists. (We understand, for instance, that the Theses of Capitalists have received an invitation to recruit by United Fruit was not politically different from those challenging against the OCS recruiter. SMC did not see the connection.

I have been on this incident only because it is not Tech's first error in identifying me. Within the last month, I have been called a member of the New Unificationists, which I am not a member of that organization, though I was during my first few weeks at MIT. Furthermore, I am not a member of SACC, UCSD's SDS, or the Theses of Capitalists. (We understand, for instance, that the Theses of Capitalists have received an invitation to recruit by United Fruit was not politically different from those challenging against the OCS recruiter. SMC did not see the connection.
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Morrison postpones MIRV decision

(Continued from page 2)

The microphone, while supporting
the moral stand Low offered in his per-
wise for MIT to take a political stand.

Morrison’s speech

Philip Morrison then presented
his motion, noting that “it is perfectly plain that
arms race... has extraordinary momentum,” he argued

We all agree that if and when
funding becomes available for
work on such projects, such
work should be carried out at
MIT, furthermore, in order that
such work can be carried on effectively it is apparent that
it should be done in special facili-
ties with appropriate capabilities
by men who are dedicated to
such work. But exactly what
this does have to do with
the special laboratories remains
a wide open question. One can conclude that
the Special Laboratories remain available with top priority to the
Department of Defense and NASA, the Space Administra-
tion, and that the work of these
laboratories is essential to the
future of the space program.

We the people may not make the
wars, but the -destruction of
them is a task we can do as
peaceful citizens, and we are
united in this effort.

In evaluating the opinions of
the Left, it is clear that
some men and institutions which
are basic to this country. Their
true attitude toward the American
flag, openly proclaimed
by the American flag.

- the enemy flag, openly pro-
claimed their support of the
counter-culture, and their
left-wing opinions

the real objective is not conver-
tion, but the destruction of these laboratories as military
assets of the United States.

Unique capabilities

Draper Laboratory and the Lin-
coln Laboratory, we could have an important effect.

The closing of these laboratories
is directly related to the
war, as was illustrated by World
War II, hence until that when
a meaningful disarmament agree-
tment military research is neces-
sary for the security and safety of the United States.

M.I.T. is not an ideal university in an
international world, but the top Institute of Technology
in the United States, and since we are United States
citizens, we are obligated to co-
operate with the United States
Government and the Depart-
ment of Defense to maintain the
security of this country.

Left-wing opinions

In evaluating the opinions of
left-wing militants one should
bear in mind the behavior
during the early days of
October, at which time they
marched under the banner of
-MIT and since we are dedicated to
this objective, not because of the
-December 6th, which is used as
are not regarded as
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FRIDAY, MARCH 13, 1970
**If not us, Who? If not now, When?** - Morrison

(The following is the complete speech given by Professor Prescott D. Crout, and read in the faculty meeting in December 1970.)

A good many people in this hall remember that twenty years ago, in which nuclear weapons were first detonated. It's even an anniversary week. We can recall the week in 1945 when two young, rather reckless physicists started the first chain reaction with the new element Plutonium. One of them died of radiation within the year; I was the other.

**Gigatons of Energy**

Now the thinking that has been going on has become a sense of gigatons of nuclear and thermonuclear energy, involving a very large multiplying factor, and I would like to say, as an exercise for the reader to calculate that number. It is perfectly plain that the amount of energy in a single bomb is very, very, very effective in modern terms to worry about. This is the gathered momentum. We see it today. It must be measured not only in physical terms, but also on logical grounds, that it must end quantitatively as well as qualitatively. It is far from that in the minds of many.

**Sensible Opinion**

How it will come to an end—that is really the problem. It may very well come to an end, we hope, with the end of this period of anonymity. But it depends on many, many another, with the inhabitants thereof. It may end by death, it may end by destruction, it may end by decision. On logical grounds, that it must end quantitatively cannot be denied. I believe it will end qualitatively and come to a logical end. That it will also end even qualitatively I think it is far from that in the minds of many. It is perfectly plain that the arms race cannot go on, even if there are more scientists.
Top seeds square off for hockey semi-finals

The A league hockey playoffs progressed to the semi-finals Wednesday night with the top four seeded teams still alive. Due to poor ice conditions, the finals were delayed.

The semi-final will pit TC against TDC and CP versus LCA. TC reached the semis by defeating SAE 4-3. Earlier, SAE had defeated Sen/Rus 4-2. TDC won its berth by crushing SAM 7-1 and Braxley 8-3.

After an opening round bye, CP edged PSK 5-4. PSK had shut Burton 5A and LCA are 0-2. In league Al, Persians and Sen/Rus defeated Sen/Rus 4-2. TDC won 1-0 over SAE. In a 4-3 record, the team did pick up experience in what must be considered a vital rebuilding year.

Of all the skaters on this year's team, only two will graduate in June, Rich Pinnock and Fred Campbell. Pincock, Tech's defensive workhorse, will be sorely missed for his tough checking and powerful shot from the blue line. The Engineers will also miss the hustle and finesse penalty-killing of Campbell, the regular season's top line right wing.

The semi-final will pit TC against TDC and CP versus LCA. Baker Yacht Club reached the semi-finals after an opening round bye, defeating Sen/Rus 4-2. TDC won its berth by crushing SAM 7-1 and Braxley 8-3.

After an intensive training program, Histechnical background is valuable. Many of Bill's customers are involved in scientific and engineering applications. "That's where my engineering degree really pays off. I can come to grips with technical details without losing sight of the overall picture." Marketing is solving problems. But, as Bill points out, there's a lot more involved in marketing at IBM than just selling a product: "I sit down with the customer and learn what his information handling problems are. Then I have to analyze his total operation in depth. Only after weeks—sometimes months—of analysis do I recommend a specific computer system that will answer his needs. "One of the best things about my job is that I get to deal with people at the top. Company presidents, Decision-makers. And my work helps them make multimillion-dollar decisions.

"I have a partner and we operate as a two-man team. IBM believes that small teams generate more and better ideas. So do I." Visit your placement office. IBM gives ample example of the many opportunities in marketing at IBM. For more information, visit your placement office.

An Equal Opportunity Employer IBM

"My engineering degree helps me sell computers."

Marksmen gun down two report

The high-flying varsity pistol team nose-dived to its worst performance Friday night at New London, but still beat Boston State 3365-2978. They made up for it Tuesday, however, breaking four records while drubbing Boston State 3365-2978.

After unloading a phenomenal 3425 in practice Thursday, Tech started strong at USCDA as the only MIT shooter on the first relay. Dan Flint '70, logged an excellent 850. After breaking for the eclipse, the bubble burst, as none of the other first-stringers came close to a good score. John Good and Robert Gibson, both sophomores, had 820's, followed by Al Smith '71 at 819. Captain David Asbell sank to 809, his worst performance in recent history. Also firing for Tech were Wayne Criswell at 807, Rich Webster at 801, Sam Wheatman at 796, Jack Carter at 738, and Bert Adriance at 720.

Back in home territory Tuesday afternoon, Tech obliterated Boston State's 2923 with a team score of 3365-2978. After unloading a phenomenal 3425 in practice Thursday, Tech started strong at USCDA as the only MIT shooter on the first relay. Dan Flint '70, logged an excellent 850. After breaking for the eclipse, the bubble burst, as none of the other first-stringers came close to a good score. John Good and Robert Gibson, both sophomores, had 820's, followed by Al Smith '71 at 819. Captain David Asbell sank to 809, his worst performance in recent history. Also firing for Tech were Wayne Criswell at 807, Rich Webster at 801, Sam Wheatman at 796, Jack Carter at 738, and Bert Adriance at 720.

Back in home territory Tuesday afternoon, Tech obliterated Boston State's 2923 with a team score of 3365-2978.

After breaking for the eclipse, the bubble burst, as none of the other first-stringers came close to a good score. John Good and Robert Gibson, both sophomores, had 820's, followed by Al Smith '71 at 819. Captain David Asbell sank to 809, his worst performance in recent history. Also firing for Tech were Wayne Criswell at 807, Rich Webster at 801, Sam Wheatman at 796, Jack Carter at 738, and Bert Adriance at 720.

Back in home territory Tuesday afternoon, Tech obliterated Boston State's 2923 with a team score of 3365-2978.

After breaking for the eclipse, the bubble burst, as none of the other first-stringers came close to a good score. John Good and Robert Gibson, both sophomores, had 820's, followed by Al Smith '71 at 819. Captain David Asbell sank to 809, his worst performance in recent history. Also firing for Tech were Wayne Criswell at 807, Rich Webster at 801, Sam Wheatman at 796, Jack Carter at 738, and Bert Adriance at 720.