Draper hails Apollo 8

By Bob Dennis

After a year in which rays of hope were darkened by despair and triumphs overshadowed by tragedies, 1968 is culminated by an event in which achievements would point in directions as an event in which MIT played a prominent role. According to Dr. John R. Draper, Director of the Instrumentation Laboratory, the Apollo 8 flight was "unquestionably the history of the human race."

It is an interview following his return to Boston. Mounted Spacecraft Center is located here, the head of a Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, explained that the Instrumentation Laboratory became America's first unit to manage documentation, check, and act as consultant for the actual guidelines and navigation systems of the Apollo program. The Laboratory, he pointed out, has been responsible for all the software and documentation in the memory of the spacecraft's computer as well as having implemented the full autopilot of the control system. Draper, who also holds the title of Institute Professor Emeritus, recalls that the Laboratory began doing work in guidance and navigation in 1954 for the Air Force. When NASA was organized in 1959, the Laboratory told them that "we could do it." Over the past eight years, about 165 million was spent, and about 700 people were involved in the project "most directly involved in its successful fruition on the recent flight.

Draper hails the flight as highly significant about a manned vehicle made a proposal. As the program got underway, he returned to earth in all accordance with a preconceived plan. He says the mission "was a key event in anything the human race has ever done." He points out the "comprehensive" role aspect of the mission in the coordination among management, technology, funding, public relations and he adds that the Russians have been "less systematics" in their space programs. Because of the great success of the Apollo 8 flight, in which some of the backup vehicles were also involved, he believes we have an excellent chance of winning the race for a manned lunar landing to the end of the year.

Three proposals Faculty debates secrecy

By Steve Cadbury

Three proposals for altering the faculty's rules concerning attendance at faculty meetings were presented and debated at the faculty meeting of December 18.
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Harvard debates ROTC

A major administration decision is expected this week in the continuing debate over the status of ROTC at Harvard College. Under the current plan, which has been approved by the Harvard Corporation and the Harvard-Cambridge ROTC board, students would be allowed to receive academic credit for ROTC training.

Department would vote to discontinue ROTC or withdraw academic credit for ROTC training.

Students in the fourth-year program of the ROTC program stated a program defending their position. The students asserted that by proving academic legitimacy, the program works out that the ROTC program should be supported by the administration because the ROTC program is a part of modern life. "The courses are of specific relevance to the military obligations and law, legally incumbent upon all male citizens," the student said.

Although the students said getting rid of ROTC would be the existence of ROTC at Harvard, they would seriously limit students Opportunities, they requested that, if changes were to be made, the courses should be examind individually.

Referendum to offer three student government choices

Incoms this week will set a date for the referendum to select a new student government. The results of the referendum will probably be known by next Wednesday. Three different proposals will be on the ballot, two arising from the Incom Committee on Reorganization, and a third, entitled "Towards a New Government," being submitted independently by a group of students.

The proposals grew out of several committees that had been set up tourgence. The proposals, one of which is to abolish ROTC altogether, were presented by the SDS, the American Council of Teachers, the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, and the Association of American Universities. One of these proposals is to establish a new student government.

One of the Incom proposals calls for a revised form of the present Incom system with about eighteen voting members selected from existing groups, the Association of Teachers, the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, and the Association of American Universities. The Incom system was "too strong to be dismissed merely because it is a bit difficult to work with it," said the faculty. It is also possible that the existing student government would be abolished and in their place would be an open assembly at which anyone of the academic community would be able to vote.

Forums explaining the three proposals will be held in the living groups next week. Date, time, and place will be published in the near future.

MIT guidance system praised by astronauts

Concerning the future of the program after the manned landing, Dr. Draper says that "it depends on the "temper of the times." He pointed out that the contributions of the program lies in the aspect of its training as "a more supporting things engine." He that that funds have already been large, but added that the success of the program will depend on what the Russians do, not on the character of the new generation.

The Information Laboratory 70 employees are about a thousand people who are engaged in research for NASA, Air Force, and the Navy. Among these more than forty programs run underway are guidance for the Project Vanguard, development of a nuclear submarine, a project for the Defense Department, a program on the Apollo VTOL helicopter, and on the SERTech program, procurement of guidance systems for generation of sensors, and on Apollo programs such as the Cold Astronautic Observatory.

MIT guidance system praised by astronauts (Continued from page 1)

The project is entering the final phase of its development, and the guiding system is expected to be installed on the first Apollo mission in the near future.

It was also pointed out that the success of the program lies in the aspect of its training as "a more supporting thing engine." He that that funds have already been large, but added that the success of the program will depend on what the Russians do, not on the character of the new generation.

The Information Laboratory 70 employees are about a thousand people who are engaged in research for NASA, Air Force, and the Navy. Among these more than forty programs run underway are guidance for the Project Vanguard, development of a nuclear submarine, a project for the Defense Department, a program on the Apollo VTOL helicopter, and on the SERTech program, procurement of guidance systems for generation of sensors, and on Apollo programs such as the Cold Astronautic Observatory.
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THE TECH
Student leader
Rhodes analyzes Caltech

Edited by Larry Klein

Editor's note: In early December Joe Rhodes, undergraduate president of the Associated Student Body at Caltech, was invited to be the guest speaker at the Career Services Forum of Greater Boston, sponsored by the Boston Chamber of Commerce. Joe was introduced to the audience by Herbert Feldman, executive editor of The Tech, and by Maria Kfaff, chairman of NSFEC, about matters of mutual concern. Joe Feldman is a conditioned suspect of your very unwise "back seat" sitting.

Carson: Joe, what brought you to New England?

Joe: Oh, I had to talk to some people at Harvard and at the Ford Foundation about certain projects.

Bill: Joe, isn't it kind of unusual for an undergraduate president to travel around the country?

Joe: Actually, I do it a lot. For example, I've been traveling all over California talking to student government leaders concerning student projects on their campus.

Bill: I entered Caltech in '62 as a freshman. I'd like to know a few things; for example, I know you've introduced Pass-Fail. In fact, you beat to it, and I'd like to know how you believe it's worked out.

Joe: If you're talking about the freshmen program of Pass-Fail, it's certainly an improvement over the structured setre of before. But it certainly shouldn't be looked upon as an ultimate solution. The problem facing the freshmen with Pass-Fail is that after a while a feeling seems to develop among a few freshmen that this is, in a sense, a relaxed grading system which they believe they can use to slack off. Yet, the freshmen who really go into Pass-Fail do well.

Bill: You know, when I was a freshman we had a student government program which let us have a lot of control, and I think that was a big advantage. It was a way to make changes, and I think that contributed to my feeling that I wanted to do something at Caltech.

Maria: I think one of the reasons Joe initiated his project at Caltech was to try to change the situation.

Bill: And what happened then?

Joe: No, there were other people who ran for president twice now! Was there some issue you've stood for or is it simply been that no one else was interested in the job?

Joe: No, there were other people who ran for president. The position I ran on was a platform of change; I felt changes were needed and where my views were, so I ran for office. During the election I said student government should be an active entity, rather than simply sit back and be a passively involved party in the administration and the students. I felt I received a mandate from the student body that we should start something new. When I won an overwhelmingly large part of the vote, this was an indication of the start of a new era of action. We started out by circulaling type things—we had one person meeting four hundred and fifty-five of the school's seven hundred students in two weeks.

Bill: You know, when I was a freshman we had a student government program which let us have a lot of control, and I think that was a big advantage. It was a way to make changes, and I think that contributed to my feeling that I wanted to do something at Caltech.

Maria: I think one of the reasons Joe initiated his project at Caltech was to try to change the situation.

Bill: To talk about the project, is it difficult, for it was a complicated affair. But I think you're right. Maria, in what you said. We were working with an administration which was interdictive to students, primarily, I think, because it was a research institution. Although education is certainly a concern of the administration, it is not always considered so by the faculty who are more interested in their own research.

Joe: Joe, I don't think Caltech is becoming a more flexible or sensitive institution, if that's what you mean. What I think has happened is that the student body is becoming a lot more sophisticated in their approach to the university. I believe that this is something that would be beneficial to Caltech in the long run.
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Black problem

How deep is our commitment to increase the number of Black students at MIT? How effectively are plans being implemented?

Members of the Black Student Union who went out recruiting Black applicants to MIT were oftentimes met with stonewalls, even after they had been cleared by brochures describing Project Epiton. Schools which had never before recruited the minority student were unaware of the standards required for the project. Schools which had sent graduates to the Institute were unsure of what standards to set for comparison.

We would like to suggest that a new venture, at the same time it is necessary to be decisive enough to communicate to prospective applicants to give them a basis for making a decision.

This lack of communication between MIT and the school guidance counselors should not be placed in the other phases of the program. If it is indicative of an even deeper problem, indication about the problem at the Institute level or a lack of a thorough plan, more work needs to be done.

Many questions must be answered. Do we want the students enough to go further out of our way to have them here over by League competition? What adjustments should be made in admissions and academic standards? Should the counseling system be reviewed?

The Black Student Union and the task force have begun the work. The faculty, administration, and concerned students must join them in shaping last year's decision into this year's meaningful reality.

The Parking Implosion

One unfortunate result of the recent building explosion on campus has been a parking implosion. This means, quite simply, that parking spaces are disappearing at a rate which is higher than that at which they are being created. We would like to offer a suggestion for this problem, which, in the long run, alleviates the problem somewhat.

Briefly, we would like to propose, that for future building at the Institute, parking garages be built underneath the buildings. At the present rate, questions about the example of the Boston Common parking garage will serve to illustrate this point.

We realize that there are many objections to a proposal such as this, but we feel the parking problem on this campus, which the construction of the new chemistry building has magnified, cannot be solved by short cuts. Such areas as cost, and, given the nature of the land, even feasibility, must of course, be examined carefully. Nevertheless, we believe that this proposal deserves some consideration in future building plans.

Letters to the Tech

Housing Correction

To the Editor:

In his progress report on Cambridge Housing (The Tech, Dec. 13) Bob Denne was apparently reporting before the fact. He correctly reported Graduate Student Council, at its December 9 meeting, passed resolutions concerning the housing crisis but the text of the resolutions given by him in the last issue was not the text which was amended before passing these resolutions.

The changes were not substantial but because the GSC felt that MIT was a more desirable place to live, rather than the local slumlords, point two should read "MIT in appreciation of the fact that MIT has been in the past, and is now continuing to purchase any further housing in residentially zoned areas, is more likely to buy properties which will be more likely to purchase the market available to low-income families, and elderly Cambridge residents." And, therefore, that there is an urgent need for redeveloping Burton House and that any renovations in Ashdown House might aggravate the housing crisis and not alleviate it. The fifth point should read "MIT place primary emphasis on constructing and improving off-campus housing for graduate students."

John B. V. Harkness
President
Graduate Student Council

Burton or Ashdown?

To the Editor:

I would like to commend the Graduate Student Council for its concern in the housing problems in Cambridge and here at MIT. One of its recommendations deserves further comment from our point of view. Specifically this is the proposed delay in the renovation of Burton House. We understand that the GSC has recommended that graduate housing at MIT because there is a problem there. This problem cannot be solved, however, by delaying the renovation of Burton House. This suggestion shows that they do not understand the basis of the need improvement in graduate housing rather than the fact concerning Burton House.

Burton House was temporary housing for undergraduate students during the 1951. It has been happily overcrowded, housing about 540 students, most of whom have been in 1966.

In Burton House have been delayed several years due to lack of funds. Funds, are funds are available for renovate to buildings. The facilities in Burton House are quite simply inadequate for the number of people living in the building. In comparison to other undergraduate housing both at MIT and other schools, Burton House is a fourth rate facility at best. The building has not held in age and, if there was a fire, there would be a large number of casualties. In view of these facts it is imperative that the renovation of Burton House take place as soon as possible.

To suggest that the renovations of Burton House be delayed for simple expense reasons is to ignore the conditions in Burton House. We hope that the renovations of Burton House will correct these conditions.

John H. Biedenharn
President
Burton House Committee

Laundry

Once I was out on a limb--Mr. Biedenharn has defended this. He & Biedenharn, when called before the student council, had the opportunity to own their own laundry machines. He feels that an employee of a laundry company would pr better service in the "long run."

This is not a trivial matter. Because we are not seen to care over our own laundry machines, and derr the profit from them, in the long run, Committee has been pressed by the student council to use the laundry service of the business.

Laundry

C. L. D. L. D. the laundry services which are available to students. It reduces both partners to machines, and at the same time neither party is concerned with the other's work. Obviously what is needed is a whole society, a whole state.

The incomprehensible to me-one need not contribute to the Institute's health, but one will not be allowed to own its own laundry machines. He feels that an employee of a large company will not be allowed to own its own laundry machines. He feels that an employee of a large company will not be allowed to own its own

M. Biedenharn
President
Burton House Committee

Student Center

To the editor:

I was amused and chagrined at the thoughts in the article by Bob Denne which you ran last Friday. Briefly let us say it is the student leader's job to provide for the student leader's job. What then are the needs of the MIT student? Face it, it is an architectural disservice. We struck out before, we have another chance!

My second objection is to the functional space concept applied to student life. One space which does fulfill a specific use is an efficiency condition only because of the architectural limitations in student areas, such as the dormitories. We have thought of a room for a room for that, unfortunately, one's life and one's activities.

The student union has been called on to do a job it is expected that the student leader's job is expected that this is a task. I want to do many things for the students, but a basic unity was preserved; much in the community and in the public

Peter Mencher '69

Executive Staff

Second-hand postcard posted at Boston, Massachusetts, The Boston Daily News: "如果你想得到什么,请自己动手去拿。" - Steve Tharp - Accounts Payable
**Caltech life examined**

(Continued from page 2)

Pete: Did you only have Caltech able to give people working on your project? the Federal project. you go to, your eyes light up and they’re many student. Let’s have some thought w... Our faculty. Faculty aren’t so up on was an ob... research project we could really get to used air... student exploitation of faculty America , 

Je: We felt that students should Joe: Anc... out of class. You would think that at the... we have to be consider... Student-faculty relation... almost as many people at Caltech with... That’s the main reason... People who come to Caltech BM: It’s... body was unexcited intellectually or commun... and I believe the wild premonitions... togetherness on the Caltech... impact on the Caltech... students would be invited to attend... This discussion will be held instead at a... necessary to be interested in attending faculty... Another faculty issue on which a...

*Faculty debate on requirements postponed until special meeting*

(Continued from page 1)

In an interview following the meet... member of the Faculty, commented that the discussion was at all times "gentle... man of the Faculty, commented that... He added that it would not be neces... a faculty member to attend but it was... It was that the discussion was at all times "gentle... He added that it would not be necessary for a majority of the student body to attend but it was... Faculty meetings for it to be worthwhile to attend all sort of student participation... The extreme length of the accuracy department included any possibility of discussing the controversial proposals of the faculty... We are considering reinstating the faculty... Another faculty issue on which a...
The Light company: bright ideas

By Gary Bjork

(Fnl. note: This review is based on a preview performance given last week.)

For the Tech, it is a pleasure to announce the appearance of a new enterprise called the Light Company. Its skits range from mildly amusing to brilliantly hilarious. The company's claim to the usage of multi-media, however, is a bit less precise. If, by "multi-media," one means the use of pointed pictorial messages projected onto screens surrounding the stage, then the Company is definitely involved in multi-media. In fact, it may be guilty of excess of this device, which because of the positioning of the screens causes the viewer to draw themself from the action on the stage to see what is happening behind them.

Receptive attitude

The Light Company is young.

Grading forum

Self-evaluation proposed

By Harold Faderow

Pass-on credit and no grades at all were among the new grading forms discussed at the Foremen Council held in the Little Theater December 16.

Undergraduate Planning Professor Edgar Schein, who chaired the meeting, attempted to direct the discussion to some system that would go beyond merely changing to a pass-fail form of grading, and would involve some sort of student-manager interaction as part of the evaluating process. A self-evaluation proposal must provide some feedback on performance. It must evaluate potential in the course field. It must set criteria. It must set a certain level of learning.

Graders do not perform any of the above functions well, and do not perform at least one at all. Alternates could be either standardized tests or personal evaluation. The personal self-evaluation could be either self-evaluation, done by the professor, jointly, or even by other students.

Mr. Albert '69, introduced a proposal, written by Bill Berry '69, Larry White '69, Mike Spencer '69, and himself, entitled "Towards a New Grading System." This proposal would allow the student to choose his own grading system, except that the consent of the instructor would be required in order to take a course pass-fail.

The choice of system would have to be made within three weeks of the beginning of the term. After that time, any changes would have to be with the consent of the instructor. According to Albert, this proposal was drawn up after from several interviews of grades from the outside by the administration.

The debate, Albert said that, his opinions, the best grading system was pass-on credit. Under this program, a person who comes up is well on record, except that credit would be given for the course. In effect, therefore, it would be what we have been doing, except that credit would be given for the course.

Two professors who had experience with pass-fail grading systems were asked to comment on their experiences with it. Professor Wedlock said that in his course, 6.70, five professors had more than a quarter of the work done with three-quarters of the term gone by. This resulted in most students living in the lab during the last few weeks of the term.

Professor Wedlock said that in his course, 6.70, five professors had more than a quarter of the work done with three-quarters of the term gone by. This resulted in most students living in the lab during the last few weeks of the term.
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The choice of system would have to be made within three weeks of the beginning of the term. After that time, any changes would have to be with the consent of the instructor. According to Albert, this proposal was drawn up after several interviews of grades from the outside by the administration.
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The choice of system would have to be made within three weeks of the beginning of the term. After that time, any changes would have to be with the consent of the instructor. According to Albert, this proposal was drawn up after several interviews of grades from the outside by the administration.

The debate, Albert said that, his opinions, the best grading system was pass-on credit. Under this program, a person who comes up is well on record, except that credit would be given for the course. In effect, therefore, it would be what we have been doing, except that credit would be given for the course.

Two professors who had experience with pass-fail grading systems were asked to comment on their experiences with it. Professor Wedlock said that in his course, 6.70, five professors had more than a quarter of the work done with three-quarters of the term gone by. This resulted in most students living in the lab during the last few weeks of the term.

Professor Wedlock said that in his course, 6.70, five professors had more than a quarter of the work done with three-quarters of the term gone by. This resulted in most students living in the lab during the last few weeks of the term.

The second card on the same query was the Seven of Swords (reversed), which in its Egyptian sense implies the presence of a question, or of a substitute for all the above functions well, and do not perform at least one at all. Alternates could be either standardized tests or personal evaluation. The personal self-evaluation could be either self-evaluation, done by the professor, jointly, or even by other students.

The next inquiry concerned the second card on the same query and was the Eight of Pentacles, a card of the Artistic, and would involve some sort of student-manager interaction as part of the evaluating process. A self-evaluation proposal must provide some feedback on performance. It must evaluate potential in the course field. It must set criteria. It must set a certain level of learning.

Graders do not perform any of the above functions well, and do not perform at least one at all. Alternates could be either standardized tests or personal evaluation. The personal self-evaluation could be either self-evaluation, done by the professor, jointly, or even by other students.

Mr. Albert '69, introduced a proposal, written by Bill Berry '69, Larry White '69, Mike Spencer '69, and himself, entitled "Towards a New Grading System." This proposal would allow the student to choose his own grading system, except that the consent of the instructor would be required in order to take a course pass-fail.
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movie...

Rewritten Minsky's a mess

By Robert Poets

"The Night They Raided Minsky's" is supposed to be a super-nostalgia look at the bawdy heyday of burlesque. Bert Lahr, as an old-time comic who was "too banana," and it was supposed to be about an innocent girl being drawn to Minsky's Bub- kee House, the run-away from home, who was unpaid unaware to appear on stage only to foil a police raid, and whose appearance accelerates the screw of the old max is inescapable of disbelieving.

Bert Lahr's unfortunate death put the film into jeopardy. The script, saying what could be of the original intentions, and playing up the remaining major characters, especially James Rhodes and Norman Welman as Minsky's new star comics.

The result, finally, is just as much a mess. It vacillates between an almost serious love story and a straight-out farce, with burlesque more the background than the subject. What's left of the original intentions is mostly the long introductionary sequence, and in the advertising. Admittedly, there are plenty of well-staged recreations of burlesque numbers and routines, and many funny shots of stereotypes of the period; but they no longer bear any relation to the picture's plot or purpose. Any intended subtleties have become hopelessly lost in the struggle to fabricate an undistinguishable succedence of events.

So, without any preliminary explanation, the film is so haphazard one might seriously doubt the competence of everyone involved; and with even an explanation, there isn't much left to enjoy. There are some good comic scenes, though others could be better, and the photography and acting are not lacking. But, with most of today's film audience born somewhat late in the 1920's, you can't ask everything on nostalgia.

Making the scene

A work by MIT music professor Fred Epstein will be performed in a series of three concerts by the Boston Symphony Orchestra Chamber Players as part of the annual NCAA gathering in Los Angeles this month.
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Amendment D: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment E: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment F: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment G: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment H: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment I: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment J: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment K: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment L: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment M: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment N: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment O: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment P: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment Q: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment R: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.
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Amendment U: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment V: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.

Amendment W: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.
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Amendment Z: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all varsity sports.
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O'Toole, Hepburn shine in 'The Lion in Winter',

By Robie Hood, et al.

"The Lion in Winter" is the story of the struggle between Henry II of England and Eleanor of Aquitaine, his wife, to determine the succession to the throne after Henry's death. It is the story, too, of the betrayal of brother against brother. Set in 1182, some years after the death of Thomas a Becket, it begins at Christmas, as Henry is holding court. He releases his wife from her poster, and calls his sons to him.

We then meet his sons for the first time: Richard, who, having ascended a tree, is trying to work up the courage to kill him; John, who is being instructed by his father in the arts of manhood; and last (and even in this we see a hint of the future), Geoffrey, his henchman; and last (and even in this we see a hint of the future), Geoffrey, his defending principal of the legal College; and last (and even in this we see a hint of the future), Geoffrey, his defending principal of the legal College; and last (and even in this we see a hint of the future), Geoffrey, his defending principal of the legal College; and last (and even in this we see a hint of the future), Geoffrey, his defending principal of the legal College.

The intrigues all take place to the background of the battle of Henry and Eleanor. While fighting for Henry against a young ward, or for Richard against John, we see Katharine Hepburn at her best. Ranging in passions from prideful arrogance to bitter defeat, she is still the queen. She is pitied against her is Peter O'Toole as her husband, Henry II. Loving father and husband, lusty Englishman and King of England, he exalts the legends of that time.

The interplay between Eleanor and Henry is, unfortunately, practically the whole movie. Not enough time is devoted to them, though they do have a few moving moments, like those between Richard and Eleanor, or between Richard and John, or for Richard against John, we see Katharine Hepburn at her best. Ranging in passions from prideful arrogance to bitter defeat, she is still the queen. She is pitied against her is Peter O'Toole as her husband, Henry II. Loving father and husband, lusty Englishman and King of England, he exalts the legends of that time.
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Light company: bright ideas

By Gary Bjerlke

(Ed. note: This review is based on a preview presentation available in the new theater space at 319 Massachusetts Avenue, consists of Bruce MacKenzie, Cindy Hathaway, and William T. Whitman, and is directed by Living Theatre, company's opening performance January 7 in its new space. We axe referring, of course, to the company's assault on everything that comes from the newly hatched Brutal Beast of campus satire, The Light Company.

The company, which will hold its first public performance January 7 in its new space, consists of Bruce MacKenzie, Cindy Hathaway, and William T. Whitman, and is directed by Gary Bjerlke. According to their Pennyg Paper, part of The Light Company's success on everything that comes to mind, the members of the cast are quite varied in background, sporting "greeting women, Afro-Americans, "if perhaps a bit obscure) contemporary humor." That is most
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**Technique '69**

On sale for the next two weeks in Building 10.

Limited edition

Buy your option now!

---

**NCAA to ponder new proposals**

The RPI Athletic Department, in an effort to bring to a final decision the controversy over eligibility of college students to participate in varsity sports, has proposed three different sentiments to be considered at the NCAA gathering in Los Angeles in April.

Amendment A: To make freshmen ineligible for all NCAA events and to limit participation by a freshman on a varsity team as one of the three permissible seasons of varsity competition.

Amendment B: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA events in all sports.

Amendment C: To make freshmen eligible for all NCAA Division I events, but not University Division events; and women would be eligible for three subsequent seasons of participation in those events.

In addition, an amendment was submitted by the ICAC, the Big Ten Conference, and Pac-8 Conference calling for refusal of all freshmen to participate in varsity sports in any institution with more than 1250 undergraduate male students ineligible in all NCAA events, and freshman and junior varsity from all institutions ineligible to National Collegiate Championship Competitions.

---

**The Miracle That Is Israel**

Mr. Horovitz '22 won 14 awards in amateur Cinematography both in National and International Competitions. The one and one half hour Color film will be narrated by Mr. Horovitz.

26-100

8:00 PM

Tues., 7 January

Free

---

**The Lion Wm Winter**

By Robert Foues

"The Night They Raided Minsky's" as purported to be a super-eroticistic and lascivious farce, not unlike the twenties. It is supposed to star veteran actor Bert Lahr, a major sight in the twenties, but with the crooks and the molls from Minsky's flash of the twenties. Bert Lahr's unfortunate death put a stop to that farce, but the producer (Norman) was unable, or unwilling, to find a suitable replacement. His only idea was to rewrite the script, changing what could be of the original situation, and playing up the remaining major characters, especially Jason Roberts and Norman Wisdom as Minsky's new star comics. Understandably, the shooting was confused, and the changes were mostly just a matter of clever editing.

The result, finally, is just as much a mess. It vacillates between an almost serious love story and a straight farce, with burlesque more the background than the subject. What's left of the original intentions is merely the loose introductory sequence, and in the advertising. Admittedly, there are plenty of well-staged recreations of burlesque numbers and routines, and many funny facets of stereotypes of the period, but they no longer bear any relation to the picture's plot or purpose. Any intended subtleties have been hopefully lost in the struggle to fabricate an understandable succession of events.

So, without any preliminary explanation, the film is so haphazard that it might seriously doubt the competence of everyone involved; and even with an explanation, there isn't much left to enjoy. There are some good comic scenes, though others could be better, and the photography and acting are not lacking. But, with most of today's film audience born somewhat in 1921, you can't make anything on nostalgia.
Cagers suffer defeats in three dual encounters

By Ron Clarke

The varsity cagers suffered losses in three dual opponents over the holidays at Brown (77-71), Colby (63-60), and Bates (62-47) successively took advantage of a touched cager opponent. The three defeats drove down Tech's record to three wins against seven losses.

Low in double overtime

By far the most exciting match was the double-overtime match against Colby. A tight defense enabled the engineers to take a squalic 72-71 lead at the half. After an overtime, the team was left tied at 81. In the second overtime, both teams were tied at 81. Two minutes left in regulation game, the engineers found themselves with a six point deficit. But, while holding their opponents scoreless during the extra minutes, the MIT team, sparked by the last, shooting of Steve Chamberlain '71, plumped in the required three to knot the score at 81.

In the first overtime, the defense allowed the Colby five only four points; but Minot Cleveland '71 was the only Tech player who connected with a bucket, and, with six seconds left, the engineers were tied at 81. Giny Lee '71 was then fouled while attempting a last effort shot, and found himself with the deciding two free throw opportunities. He calmly tied the game with the first, but shot the second, sending the game into the second overtime with the scores knotted at 81 and 55 all. Defenses collapsed, though, and Colby outscored MIT 8-5 in the last extra period to claim to victory. Chamberlain and the engineers with a poor performance. Nick Humford '70 and Bob Vegele '70 were the only others to hit in double figures with 12 and 11 points respectively.

The Brown game was less of a contest. Although the engineers were slightly behind in the initial minutes, the Brown team managed to control their cold shooting with a large quantity of shots to claim the victory. Aside from weak offensive power, the engineers performed against advantage, outplaying their opponents (57-39) for the first time in twelve months. The team has been in the losing position for 14 minutes and year in winning an 81 average at the free throw line. Six engineers enjoyed perfect outings at the charity stripe—Vegele '70, Chamberlain (5-5), Cleveland (3-3), Cleveland (4-4), Fuegely (2-2), Lee (2-2), and Taylor (2-2). These efforts kept the engineers to within four points of the opposition with one minute left, but Bowdoin's talented guard Bill Mita sealed MIT's doom when the engineers were forced to foul while he deftly dribbled the ball away. McFarland sank his two charity tosses to end the game.

The Bates contest was again a situation where a fairly good defense was trying to mask one of the best teams in the country. The Tech team managed to get better shooting than they did in the past week, but Bowdoin team managed to offset their cold shooting with a large quantity of shots to claim the victory. Aside from weak offensive power, the engineers performed against advantage, outplaying their opponents (57-39) for the first time in twelve months. The team has been in the losing position for 14 minutes and year in winning an 81 average at the free throw line. Six engineers enjoyed perfect outings at the charity stripe—Vegele '70, Chamberlain (5-5), Cleveland (3-3), Cleveland (4-4), Fuegely (2-2), Lee (2-2), and Taylor (2-2). These efforts kept the engineers to within four points of the opposition with one minute left, but Bowdoin's talented guard Bill Mita sealed MIT's doom when the engineers were forced to foul while he deftly dribbled the ball away. McFarland sank his two charity tosses to end the game.

The offensive futility became apparent in the opening minutes of the second half. For seven minutes, the engineers held the Cumberland off the scores, but, at the end of the period Tech still remained behind, 32-28. The Bowdoin offense then began functioning again, and soon pulled away to their final 15 point spread. Only two engineers, Fuegely and McFarland, were able to score in double figures, each

Cagers foiled at WPI

By Ron Clarke

DePauw claims foiled
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